

Control of Arabidopsis shoot stem cell homeostasis by two antagonistic CLE peptide signalling pathways

Jenia Schlegel, Gregoire Denay, Rene Wink, Karine Gustavo Pinto, Yvonne Stahl, Julia Schmid, Patrick Blümke, Rüdiger GW Simon*

Institute for Developmental Genetics and Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences, Heinrich Heine University, Düsseldorf, Germany

Abstract Stem cell homeostasis in plant shoot meristems requires tight coordination between stem cell proliferation and cell differentiation. In *Arabidopsis*, stem cells express the secreted dodecapeptide CLAVATA3 (CLV3), which signals through the leucine-rich repeat (LRR)-receptor kinase CLAVATA1 (CLV1) and related CLV1-family members to downregulate expression of the homeodomain transcription factor *WUSCHEL* (*WUS*). WUS protein moves from cells below the stem cell domain to the meristem tip and promotes stem cell identity, together with *CLV3* expression, generating a negative feedback loop. How stem cell activity in the meristem centre is coordinated with organ initiation and cell differentiation at the periphery is unknown. We show here that the *CLE40* gene, encoding a secreted peptide closely related to CLV3. *CLE40* promotes *WUS* expression via BAM1, a CLV1-family receptor, and *CLE40* expression is in turn repressed in a *WUS*-dependent manner. Together, *CLE40-BAM1-WUS* establish a second negative feedback loop. We propose that stem cell homeostasis is achieved through two intertwined pathways that adjust WUS activity and incorporate information on the size of the stem cell domain, via *CLV3-CLV1*, and on cell differentiation via *CLE40-BAM1*.

*For correspondence: ruediger.simon@hhu.de

Competing interest: The authors declare that no competing interests exist.

Funding: See page 23

Received: 02 June 2021 Preprinted: 16 June 2021 Accepted: 30 September 2021 Published: 13 October 2021

Reviewing Editor: Sheila McCormick, University of California, Berkeley, United States

© Copyright Schlegel *et al.* This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use and redistribution provided that the original author and source are

credited.

Introduction

In angiosperms, the stem cell domain in shoot meristem is controlled by the directional interplay of two adjacent groups of cells. These are the central zone (CZ) at the tip of the dome-shaped meristem, comprising slowly dividing stem cells, and the underlying cells of the organizing centre (OC). Upon stem cell division, daughter cells are displaced laterally into the peripheral zone (PZ), where they can enter differentiation pathways (Fletcher et al., 1999; Hall and Watt, 1989; Reddy et al., 2004; Schnablová et al., 2020; Stahl and Simon, 2005; Steeves and Sussex, 1989). Cells in the OC express the homeodomain transcription factor WUSCHEL (WUS), which moves through plasmodesmata to CZ cells to maintain stem cell fate and promote expression of the secreted signalling peptide CLAVATA3 (CLV3) (Brand et al., 2000; Daum et al., 2014; Müller et al., 2006; Schoof et al., 2000; Yadav et al., 2011). Perception of CLV3 by plasma membrane-localized receptors in the OC cells triggers a signal transduction cascade and downregulates WUS activity, thus establishing a negative feedback loop (Mayer et al., 1998; Ogawa et al., 2008; Yadav et al., 2011). Mutants of CLV3 or its receptors (see below) fail to confine WUS expression and cause stem cell proliferation, while WUS mutants cannot maintain an active stem cell population (Brand et al., 2002; Clark et al., 1993; Clark et al., 1995; Endrizzi et al., 1996; Laux et al., 1996; Schoof et al., 2000). WUS function in the OC is negatively regulated by HAM transcription factors, and only WUS protein that moves upwards to the stem cell zone, which lacks HAM expression, can activate CLV3 expression (Han et al., 2020; Zhou

CC

eLife digest Plants are sessile lifeforms that have evolved many ways to overcome this challenge. For example, they can quickly adapt to their environment, and they can grow new organs, such as leaves and flowers, throughout their lifetime.

Stem cells are important precursor cells in plants (and animals) that can divide and specialize into other types of cells to help regrow leaves and flowers. A region in the plant called meristem, which can be found in the roots and shoots, continuously produces new organs in the peripheral zone of the meristem by maintaining a small group of stem cells in the central zone of the meristem.

This is regulated by a signalling pathway called CLV and a molecule produced by the stem cells in the central zone, called CLV3. Together, they keep a protein called WUS (found in the deeper meristem known as the organizing zone) at low levels. WUS, in turn, increases the production of stem cells that generate CLV3. However, so far it was unclear how the number of stem cells is coordinated with the rate of organ production in the peripheral zone.

To find out more, Schlegel et al. studied cells in the shoot meristems from the thale cress Arabidopsis thaliana. The researchers found that cells in the peripheral zone produce a molecule called CLE40, which is similar to CLV3. Unlike CLV3, however, CLE40 boosts the levels of WUS, thereby increasing the number of stem cells. In return, WUS reduces the production of CLE40 in the central zone and the organizing centre. This system allows meristems to adapt to growing at different speeds.

These results help reveal how the activity of plant meristems is regulated to enable plants to grow new structures throughout their life. Together, CLV3 and CLE40 signalling in meristems regulate stem cells to maintain a small population that is able to respond to changing growth rates. This understanding of stem cell control could be further developed to improve the productivity of crops.

et al., 2018). The CLV3-WUS interaction can serve to maintain the relative sizes of the CZ and OC, and thereby meristem growth along the apical-basal axis. However, cell loss from the PZ due to production of lateral organs requires a compensatory size increase of the stem cell domain.

The CLV3 signalling pathway, which acts along the apical-basal axis of the meristem, has been widely studied in several plant species and shown to be crucial for stem cell homeostasis in shoot and floral meristems (Somssich et al., 2016). The CLV3 peptide is perceived by a leucine-rich repeat (LRR) receptor kinase, CLAVATA1 (CLV1), which interacts with coreceptors of the CLAVATA3 INSENSITIVE RECEPTOR KINASES (CIK) 1-4 family (Clark et al., 1997; Cui et al., 2018). CLV1 activation involves autophoshorylation, interaction with membrane-associated and cytosolic kinases and phosphatases (Blümke et al., 2021; Defalco et al., 2021). Furthermore, heterotrimeric G-proteins and MAPKs have been implicated in this signal transduction cascade in maize and Arabidopsis (Betsuyaku et al., 2011; Bommert et al., 2013; Ishida et al., 2014; Lee et al., 2019). Besides CLV1, several other receptors contribute to WUS regulation, among them RECEPTOR-LIKE PROTEIN KINASE2 (RPK2), the CLAVATA2-CORYNE heteromer (CLV2-CRN) and BARELY ANY MERISTEM1-3 (BAM1-3) (Bleckmann et al., 2010; Deyoung and Clark, 2008; Hord et al., 2006; Jeong et al., 1999; Kinoshita et al., 2010; Müller et al., 2008). The BAM receptors share high-sequence similarity with CLV1 and perform diverse functions throughout plant development. Double mutants of BAM1 and BAM2 maintain smaller shoot and floral meristems, thus displaying the opposite phenotype to mutants of CLV1 (DeYoung et al., 2006; Deyoung and Clark, 2008; Hord et al., 2006). Interestingly, ectopic expression experiments showed that CLV1 and BAM1 can perform similar functions in stem cell control (Nimchuk et al., 2015). In addition, one study showed that CLV3 could interact with CLV1 and BAM1 in cell extracts (Shinohara and Matsubayashi, 2015), although another in vitro study did not detect BAM1-CLV3 interaction at physiological levels of CLV3 (Crook et al., 2020). Furthermore, CLV1 was shown to act as a negative regulator of BAM1 expression, which was interpreted as a genetic buffering system, whereby a loss of CLV1 is compensated by upregulation of BAM1 in the meristem centre (Nimchuk, 2017; Nimchuk et al., 2015). Comparable genetic compensation models for CLE peptide signalling in stem cell homeostasis were established for other species, such as tomato and maize (Rodriguez-Leal et al., 2019).

Maintaining the overall architecture of the shoot apical meristem during the entire life cycle of the plant requires replenishment of differentiating stem cell descendants in the PZ, indicating that

Developmental Biology | Plant Biology

cell division rates and cell fate changes in both regions are closely connected (Stahl and Simon, 2005). Overall meristem size is restricted by the ERECTA-family signalling pathway, which is activated by EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR (EPF)-LIKE (EPFL) ligands from the meristem periphery and confines both CLV3 and WUS expression (Mandel et al., 2014; Shpak, 2013; Shpak et al., 2004; Torii et al., 1996; Zhang et al., 2021). In the land plant lineage, the shoot meristems of bryophytes such as the moss Physcomitrium patens appear less complex than those of angiosperms and carry only a single apical stem cell which ensures organ initiation by continuous asymmetric cell divisions (de Keijzer et al., 2021; Harrison et al., 2009). Broadly expressed CLE peptides were here found to restrict stem cell identity and act in division plane control (Whitewoods et al., 2018). Proliferation of the apical notch cell in the liverwort Marchantia polymorpha is promoted by MpCLE2 peptide which acts from outside the stem cell domain via the receptor MpCLV1, while cell proliferation is confined by MpCLE1 peptide through a different receptor (Hata and Kyozuka, 2021; Hirakawa et al., 2019; Hirakawa et al., 2020; Takahashi et al., 2021). Thus, antagonistic control of stem cell activities through diverse CLE peptides is conserved between distantly related land plants. In the grasses, several CLEs were found to control the stem cell domain. In maize, ZmCLE7 is expressed from the meristem tip, while ZmFCP1 is expressed in the meristem periphery and its centre. Both peptides restrict stem cell fate via independent receptor signalling pathways (Liu et al., 2021; Rodriguez-Leal et al., 2019). In rice, overexpression of the CLE peptides OsFCP1 and OsFCP2 downregulates the homeobox gene OSH1 and arrests meristem function (Ohmori et al., 2013; Suzaki et al., 2008). Common for rice and maize, CLE peptide signalling restricts stem cell activities in the shoot meristem, but a stem cell-promoting pathway was not identified so far.

Importantly, how stem cell activities in the CZ and OC are coordinated to regulate organ initiation and cell differentiation in the PZ, which is crucial to maintain an active meristem, is not yet known. In maize, the CLV3-related peptide ZmFCP1 was suggested to be expressed in primordia and convey a repressive signal on the stem cell domain (*Je et al., 2016*). In *Arabidopsis*, the most closely related peptide to CLV3 is CLE40, which was shown to act in the root meristem to restrict columella stem cell fate and regulate the expression of the *WUS* paralog *WOX5* (*Berckmans et al., 2020*; *Hobe et al., 2003*; *Pallakies and Simon, 2014*; *Stahl et al., 2013*; *Stahl and Simon, 2010*). Endogenous functions of CLE40 in the SAM have not previously been described, although overexpression of *CLE40* causes shoot stem cell termination, while *CLE40* expression from the *CLV3* promoter fully complements the shoot and floral meristem defects of *clv3* mutants (*Hobe et al., 2003*). We therefore hypothesized that *CLE40* could act in a *CLV3*-related pathway in shoot stem cell control.

Here, we show that the expression level of WUS in the OC is subject to feedback regulation from the PZ, which is mediated by the secreted peptide CLE40. In the shoot meristem, *CLE40* is expressed in a complementary pattern to *CLV3* and excluded from the CZ and OC. In *cle40* loss-of-function mutants, WUS expression is reduced, and shoot meristems remain small and flat, indicating that *CLE40* signalling is required to maintain WUS expression in the OC. Ectopic expression of WUS represses *CLE40* expression, while in *wus* loss-of-function mutants *CLE40* is expressed in the meristem centre, indicating that CLE40, in contrast to CLV3, is subject to negative feedback regulation by WUS. CLE40 likely acts as an autocrine signal that is perceived by BAM1 in a domain flanking the OC.

Based on our findings, we propose a new model for the regulation of the stem cell domain in the shoot meristem in which signals and information from both the CZ and the PZ are integrated through two interconnected negative feedback loops that sculpt the dome-shaped shoot meristems of angiosperms.

Results

CLE40 signalling promotes IFM growth from the PZ

Previous studies showed that *CLE40* expression from the *CLV3* promoter can fully complement a *clv3-2* mutant, indicating that CLE40 can substitute CLV3 function in the shoot meristem to control stem cell homeostasis, if expressed from the stem cell domain. Furthermore, while all other *CLE* genes in *Arabidopsis* lack introns, the *CLE40* and *CLV3* genes carry two introns at very similar positions (*Hobe et al., 2003*), indicating close evolutionary relatedness. Phylogenetic analysis revealed that CLV3 and CLE40 locate in the same cluster together with CLV3 orthologues from rice, maize and tomato (*Goad et al., 2017*). The amino acid sequences of CLV3 and CLE40 differ at 4 out of 13 positions (*Figure 1A*).



Figure 1. CLV3 and CLE40 exert opposite effects on meristem size. (A) The amino acid (AA) sequences of the mature CLV3 and CLE40 peptides differ in four AAs (differences marked in red). (B) *Col-0* inflorescence at 6 weeks after germination (WAG) with flowers. (B) Inflorescence meristem (IFM) at 6 WAG, maximum intensity projection (MIP) of a z-stack taken by confocal microscopy. (C) *clv3-9* inflorescence at 6 WAG (C) MIP of a *clv3-9* IFM at 6 WAG. (D) Inflorescence of *cle40-2* at 6 WAG (D) MIP of a *cle40-2* IFM. (E) Box and whisker plot of IFM sizes of *Col-0* (N = 59), *clv3-9* (N = 22) and *cle40-2* (N = 27) plants. Scale bars: 10 mm (B–D), 50 µm (B'–D'), Statistical groups were assigned after calculating p-values by ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test (differential grouping from p≤0.01). Yellow dotted lines in (B'–D') enclose the IFM, red line in the inset meristem in (E) indicates the area that was used for the quantifications in (E).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 1:

Figure supplement 1. Mutants from the CLV pathway show differences in their leaf lengths.

Figure supplement 2. cle40 mutants have smaller meristems.

Figure supplement 3. Siliques of various mutants differ in their carpel number.

Mutations in *CLE40* were previously found to affect distal stem cell maintenance in the root meristem, revealing that a CLV3-related signalling pathway also operates in the root stem cell niche. To uncover a potential role of *CLE40* in shoot development, we analysed seedling and flower development, and inflorescence meristem (IFM) sizes of the wild-type *Col-0*, and *clv3-9* and *cle40-2 loss-offunction* mutants. At 4 weeks after germination (WAG), leaves of *clv3-9* mutants remained shorter than those of *Col-0* or *cle40-2* (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*). After floral induction, the inflorescences of *clv3-9* mutants were compact with many more flowers than the wild type, while *cle40-2* mutant inflorescences appeared smaller than the control (B–D). To first investigate effects on meristem development in detail, longitudinal sections through the IFM at 6 WAG were obtained by confocal microscopy and meristem areas were analysed (*Figure 1B–E*). In *clv3-9* mutants, meristem areas increased to ~450% of wild-type (*Col-0*) levels, while shoot meristems from four independent *cle40* mutant alleles in a *Col-0* background (*cle40-2, cle40-cr1, cle40-cr2, cle40-cr3*) reached only up to 65% of wild type (*Figure 1E, Figure 1—figure supplement 2C; Yamaguchi et al., 2017*). Next, we used carpel number as a rough proxy for flower meristem (FM) size, which was 2 ± 0.0 (N = 290) in Col-0 and cle40-2 (N = 290) but 3.7 ± 0.4 (N = 340) in clv3-9 (Figure 1—figure supplement 3). Hence, we concluded that CLE40 mainly promotes IFM growth, whereas CLV3 serves to restrict both IFM and FM sizes.

We next analysed the precise *CLE40* expression pattern using a transcriptional reporter line, *CLE40:Venus-H2B*, which showed the same expression pattern as a previously described reporter (**Stahl et al., 2009; Figure 2—figure supplement 1**). We first concentrated on the IFMs and FMs. *CLE40* is expressed in IFMs and FMs, starting at P5 to P6 onwards (**Figure 2A–C**). We found stronger expression in the PZ than in the CZ, and no expression in young primordia. Using MorphoGraphX software, we extracted the fluorescence signal originating from the outermost cell layer (L1) of the IFM and noted downregulation of *CLE40* expression in the centre of the meristem (**Figure 2B**). Longitudinal optical sections through the IFM showed that *CLE40* is not expressed in the CZ, and only occasionally in the OC region (**Figure 2C, Figure 2—figure supplement 2A-E'**). Expression of *CLE40* changed dynamically during development: expression was concentrated in the IFM, but lacking at sites of primordia initiation (P0 to P4/5, **Figure 2C**). In older primordia from P5/6 onwards, *CLE40* expression is detectable from the centre of the young FM and expands towards the FM periphery. In the FMs, *CLE40* is lacking in young sepal primordia (P6), but starts to be expressed on the adaxial sides of petals at P7 (**Figure 2A**, P1–P7).

To compare the *CLE40* pattern with that of *CLV3*, we introgressed a *CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry* transcriptional reporter into the *CLE40:Venus-H2B* background. *CLV3* and *CLE40* are expressed in almost mutually exclusive domains of the IFM, with *CLV3* in the CZ surrounded by *CLE40* expressing cells (*Figure 2D–F"*, *Figure 2—figure supplement 2*). In the deeper region of the IFM, where the OC is located, both *CLV3* and *CLE40* are not expressed (*Figure 2F*, *Figure 2—figure supplement 2*).

We noted that *CLE40* is downregulated where *WUS* is expressed, or where WUS protein localizes, such as the OC and CZ. Furthermore, *CLE40* is also lacking in very early flower primordia and incipient organs.

CLE40 expression is repressed by WUS activity

To further analyse the regulation of CLE40 expression, we introduced the CLE40 transcriptional reporter into the clv3-9 mutant background (Figure 3A and B, Figure 3-figure supplement 1). In clv3-9 mutants, WUS is no longer repressed by the CLV signalling pathway, and the CZ of the meristem increases in size as described previously (Clark et al., 1995). In the clv3-9 mutant meristems, both CLV3 and WUS promoter activity is now found in an expanded domain (Figure 3-figure supplement 1). CLE40 is not expressed in the tip and centre of the IFM but is rather confined to the peripheral domain, where neither CLV3 nor WUS are expressed (Figure 3B', Figure 3-figure supplement 1B'). To further explore the expression dynamics of CLE40 in connection with regulation of stem cell fate and WUS, we misexpressed WUS from the CLV3 promoter and introgressed it into plants carrying the CLE40:Venus-H2B construct. Since WUS activates the CLV3 promoter, CLV3:WUS misexpression triggers a positive feedback loop. This results in a continuous enlargement of the CZ (Brand et al., 2002). Young seedlings carrying the CLV3:WUS transgene at 10 days after germination (DAG) displayed a drastically enlarged SAM compared to wild-type seedlings of the same age (Figure 3C-D'). Wild-type seedlings at this stage express CLE40 in older leaf primordia and deeper regions of the vegetative SAM (Figure 3E-E'). The CLV3:WUS transgenic seedlings do not initiate lateral organs from the expanded meristem, and CLE40 expression is confined to the cotyledons (Figure 3F-F'). CLE40 is also lacking in the deeper regions of the vegetative SAM (Figure 3F'). Thus. we conclude that either WUS itself or a WUS-dependent regulatory pathway represses CLE40 gene expression.

We next determined if *CLE40* repression in the CZ can be alleviated in mutants with reduced WUS activity. Since *wus* loss-of-function mutants fail to maintain an active CZ and shoot meristem, we used the hypomorphic *wus*-7 allele (*Graf et al., 2010; Ma et al., 2019*). *wus*-7 mutants are developmentally delayed. Furthermore, *wus*-7 mutants generate an IFM, but the FMs give rise to sterile flowers that lack inner organs (*Figure 3—figure supplement 2*). We introgressed the *CLE40* reporter into *wus*-7 and found that at 5 WAG all *wus*-7 mutants expressed *CLE40* in both the CZ and the OC of the IFM (*Figure 3G–H', Figure 3—figure supplement 2*). Similar to wild type, *CLE40* is only weakly expressed in the young primordia of *wus*-7. Therefore, we conclude that a *WUS*-dependent pathway downregulates *CLE40* in the centre of the IFM during normal development.



Figure 2. *CLE40* and *CLV3* show complementary expression patterns in the inflorescence meristem (IFM). (**A**) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of an inflorescence at 5 weeks after germination (WAG) expressing the transcriptional reporter *CLE40:Venus-H2B//Col-0* showing *CLE40* expression in the IFM, older primordia and sepals (N = 23). (**B**) The L1 projection shows high expression in the epidermis of the periphery of the IFM and only weak expression in the central zone (CZ). (**C**) Longitudinal section through the IFM shows expression of *CLE40* in the periphery, but downregulated expression *Figure 2 continued on next page*



Figure 2 continued

in the CZ. (P1 –P6) Longitudinal section through primordia show no *CLE40* expression in young primordia (P1–P4), but in the centre of older primordia (P5–P6). (D) The MIP of the double reporter line of *CLE40* and *CLV3* (*CLE40:Venus-H2B;CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry//Col-0*) shows *CLV3* expression in the CZ surrounded by *CLE40* expression in the periphery (N = 12). (E–E^{""}) The L1 projection shows *CLV3* (E') expression in the centre of the IFM and *CLE40* (E") expression in a distinct complementary pattern in the periphery of the IFM. (F) The longitudinal section through the centre of the IFM shows *CLV3* expression in the CZ while *CLE40* (F') is mostly expressed in the surrounding cells. (F") *CLE40* and *CLV3* are expressed in complementary patterns. Dashed blue lines indicate magnified areas, dashed white and orange lines indicate planes of longitudinal sections, dashed red line in (A) and (D) marks the IFM area, the dashed pink line marks the sepals, the dashed rose line marks the FMs and dashed yellow line in (F") the OC. Scale bars: 50 µm (A, D), 20 µm (B, C, E, E^{""}, T"), 10 µm (P0–P6), PI: propidium iodide; L1: visualization of layer 1 only; P1–P7: primordia at consecutive stages.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 2:

Figure supplement 1. CLE40 transcriptional and translational reporter lines display same expression patterns.

Figure supplement 2. CLE40 and CLV3 expression in multiple inflorescence meristem (IFM).

CLE40 signals through BAM1

Given that CLV1 and BAM1 perform partially redundant functions to perceive CLV3 in shoot and floral meristems, we asked if these receptors also contribute in a CLE40 signalling pathway. We therefore generated the translational reporter lines *CLV1:CLV1-GFP* and *BAM1:BAM1-GFP*, and analysed their expression patterns in detail. Both reporter lines rescued the shoot phenotype in a *clv1-101* and *bam1-3;clv1-20* mutant background, respectively. The *CLV1* reporter line additionally showed the same expression pattern in the shoot compared to a previously published reporter line (*Nimchuk* et al., 2011; Figure 4—figure supplement 1, Figure 4—figure supplement 2, Figure 4—figure supplement 3, Figure 5—figure supplement 1). We observed dynamic changes of *CLV1* expression during the different stages of flower primordia initiation. *CLV1:CLV1-GFP* is continuously expressed in deeper regions of the IFM comprising the OC, and in the meristem periphery where new FMs are initiating (*Figure 4A, Figure 4—figure supplement 2, Figure 4—figure supplement 3*). *CLV1* is expressed strongly in cells of the L1 and L2 of incipient organ primordia (P-1, P0), and only in L2 at P1. P2 and P3 show only very faint expression in the L1, but in stages from P4 to P6, *CLV1* expression expands from the L3 into the L2 and L1 (*Figure 4*, P1–P6, *Figure 4—figure supplement 2*, *Figure 4*, *Fi*

The translational BAM1:BAM1-GFP reporter is expressed in the IFM, the FMs and in floral organs (Figure 5A, Figure 5—figure supplement 2A–C', Figure 5—figure supplement 3A–E'). In the IFM, expression is found throughout the L1 layer of the meristem, and, at an elevated level, in L2 and L3 cells of the PZ, but not in the meristem centre around the OC, where CLV1 expression is detected (Figure 5B and C, compare to Figure 4C). BAM1 is less expressed in the deeper regions of primordia from P6 onwards (Figure 5C, Figure 5—figure supplement 3A'–E'). BAM1 transcription was reported to be upregulated in the meristem centre in the absence of CLV3 or CLV1 signalling (Nimchuk, 2017). Using our translational BAM1 reporter in the *clv1-20* mutant background, we quantified and thereby confirmed that BAM1 is now expressed in the meristem centre, similar to the pattern of *CLV1* in the wild type, and that BAM1 is upregulated in the L1 of the meristem. Importantly, in a *clv1-20* background BAM1 is absent in the peripheral region of the IFM and the L2 (Figure 5D–F, Figure 4—figure supplement 3F–J', Figure 5—figure supplement 4).

In longitudinal and transversal optical sections through the IFM, we found that complementarity of *CLE40* and *CLV3* is reflected in the complementary expression patterns of *BAM1* and *CLV1* (*Figure 5 figure supplement 5*). Therefore, we conclude that expression patterns of *CLV1* and *BAM1* are mostly complementary in the meristem itself and during primordia development. When comparing *CLE40* and *BAM1* expression patterns, we found a strong overlap in the PZ of the meristem, during incipient primordia formation, in older primordia and in L3 cells surrounding the OC (*Figure 5—figure supplement 5A' and B', Figure 5—figure supplement 6*). Similarly, CLV3 and CLV1 are confined to the CZ and OC, respectively.

To analyse if CLE40-dependent signalling requires CLV1 or BAM1, we measured the sizes of IFMs in the respective single and double mutants (*Figure 6*). While *cle40-2* mutant IFMs reached 65% of the wild-type size, *clv1-101* plants develop IFMs that were 140% wild-type size, whereas *bam1-3;clv1-101* double mutant meristems reached 450% wild-type size, similar to those of *clv3-9* mutants. This supports the notion that BAM1 can partially compensate for CLV1 function in the CLV3 signalling pathway when expressed in the meristem centre (*Figure 5F; Nimchuk et al., 2015*). The relationship



Figure 3. WUS-dependent repression of *CLE40* expression in the shoot meristem. (**A**) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of *CLE40* expression (*CLE40*:*Venus-H2B//Col-0*) at 5 weeks after germination (WAG), (**A**') Longitudinal optical section through the centre of the inflorescence meristem (IFM) (indicated by orange line in **A**) reveals no *CLE40* expression in the central zone (CZ) and the centre of the meristem. Cells in the L2 layer also

Figure 3 continued on next page

Figure 3 continued

show less CLE40 expression. High CLE40 expression is found in the peripheral zone (PZ) (N = 23). (B) MIP of CLE40 expression in a clv3-9 mutant (CLE40:Venus-H2B//clv3-9) shows expression only in the PZ of the meristem, in flower meristems (FMs) and in sepals (N = 6). (B') Longitudinal optical section through the IFM depicts no CLE40 expression at the tip and the centre of the meristem. CLE40 expression is only detected in cells at the flanks of the IFM and in sepals. (C) Arabidopsis seedling at 10 days after germination (DAG). (D) Seedling expressing WUS from the CLV3 promoter, 10 DAG. (D') Magnification of seedling in (D). The meristem fasciates without forming flowers. (E) L1 projection, vegetative seedling with CLE40 expression in the PZ and leaf primordia starting from LP4, at 10 DAG (N = 5). (\mathbf{E}') Longitudinal section of (\mathbf{E}) with CLE40 expression primordia and rib meristem or periphery. (F) MIP of fasciated meristem as in (D). CLE40 expression can only be found in the cotyledons (C1 and C2) next to the meristem (N = 5). (F') Longitudinal optical section shows CLE40 expression only in the epidermis of cotyledons. (G, G') MIP (G) and longitudinal optical section (G') of CLE40 expression (CLE40:Venus-H2B//Ler) in a wild- type (Landsberg erecta [L.er]) background at 5 WAG shows no signal in the CZ or OC. CLE40 is confined to the PZ and the centre of older flower primordia, and to sepals (N = 8). (H, H') MIP of CLE40 in a wus-7 background shows expression through the entire IFM and in the centre of flower primordia. The longitudinal optical section (H') reveals that CLE40 is also expressed in the CZ as well as in the OC of the IFM (N = 12). Dashed orange lines indicate the planes of longitudinal sections, dashed red line in (A), (B), (E) and (F) marks the IFM area, the dashed pink line in (A) and (B) marks the sepals, the dashed rose line in (A) and (B) marks the FMs, the dashed white line in (E') marks the CZ. Scale bars: 50 μm (A, B, G, H), 20 μm (A', B', E, E', F, F', G', H'), 1 mm (C, D), 500 μm (D'). PI: propidium iodide; L1: layer 1 projection; C: cotyledon; LP: leaf primordium.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 3:

Figure supplement 1. CLE40 expression is lacking in the central zone (CZ) and organizing centre (OC).

Figure supplement 2. CLE40 expression is extended in wus-7 mutants.

between CLV1 and BAM1 is not symmetrical since CLV1 is expressed in a wild-typic pattern in *bam1-3* mutants (*Figure 8—figure supplement 4*). Meristem sizes of *bam1-3* mutants reached 70% of the wild type, and double mutants of *cle40-2;bam1-3* did not differ significantly. However, double mutants of *cle40-2;clv1-101* developed like the *clv1-101* single mutant, indicating an epistatic relationship. Importantly, both *clv1-101* and *bam1-3* mutants lack BAM1 function in the meristem periphery (*Figure 5F*), where also *CLE40* is highly expressed, which could explain the observed epistatic relationships of *cle40-2* with both *clv1-101* and *bam1-3*. Similar genetic relationships for *CLV3*, *CLE40*, *CLV1* and *BAM1* were noticed when analysing carpel number as a proxy for FM sizes. We also noted that generation of larger IFMs and FMs in different mutants was negatively correlated with leaf size, which we cannot explain so far (*Figure 1—figure supplement 1*). In the root meristem, we found that the BAM1 receptor, but not CLV1, is required for CLE40-dependent root meristem development, suggesting that CLE40 can act through BAM1 (*Figure 6—figure supplement 1*).

For the shoot, we hypothesize that CLE40 signals from the meristem periphery via BAM1 to promote meristem growth. Next, we aimed to determine if the commonalities between *cle40-2* and *bam1-3* mutants extend beyond their effects on meristem size.

A CLE40 and BAM1 signalling pathway promotes *WUS* expression in the meristem periphery

We next analysed the number of WUS-expressing cells in wild type and mutant meristems using a WUS:NLS-GFP transcriptional reporter. Compared to wild type, the WUS expression domain was laterally strongly expanded in both *clv3-9* and *clv1-101*. Interestingly, WUS signal extended also into the L1 layer of *clv1-101*, albeit in a patchy pattern (*Figure 7A–C' and F, Figure 7—figure supplement 1, Figure 7—figure supplement 2*). Also noteworthy is that BAM1 was expressed at a higher level in the L1 layer of *clv1* mutants. *cle40-2* mutants showed a reduction in the number of WUSexpressing cells down to ~50% wild-type levels (*Figure 7D–D' and F, Figure 7—figure supplement* 1, *Figure 7—figure supplement 2*). Importantly, WUS remained expressed in the centre of the meristem, but was found in a narrow domain. In *bam1-3* mutants, the WUS domain was similarly reduced as in *cle40-2*, and WUS expression focussed in the meristem centre (*Figure 7E, E' and F, Figure 7—figure 7—figure supplement 2*). In contrast, both *clv3-9* and *clv1-101* mutants express WUS in a laterally expanded domain (*Figure 7B' and C', Figure 7—figure supplement 1*, *Figure 7—figure supplement 2*).



Figure 4. *CLV1* is expressed in the organizing centre (OC) and cells of incipient organ primordia. (**A**) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of *CLV1* under its endogenous promoter (*CLV1:CLV1-GFP//Col-0*) at 5 weeks after germination (WAG) shows *CLV1* expression in the OC of the meristems, inflorescence meristem (IFM) and flower meristems (FMs), in incipient organ primordia (P-1–P1) and in sepals (N = 15). (**A**') MIP of the IFM from (**A**) without propidium iodide (PI) staining. (**B**) In the layer 1 (L1) projection, *CLV1* expression is detected in cells of incipient organs. (**C**) Longitudinal section through the IFM shows *CLV1* expression in the OC and P0. (**C**') XZ section from (**C**) without PI staining. (P-1–P6) *CLV1* expression is detected in incipient organ primordia in L1 and L2 (-P1, P0), in the L2 of P1 and in the OC of the IFM and FMs from P4 to P6. Dashed white and blue lines indicate the planes of longitudinal sections, yellow dashed lines in (**A**) and (**B**) mark incipient organ primordia (P-1–P1), yellow lines (P-1–P6) indicate the IFM region, white lines mark the primordium. Scale bars: 50 µm (**A**), 20 µm (**B**, **C**), 10 µm (P1–P6), P: primordium.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 4:

Figure supplement 1. The translational CLV1:CLV1-GFP reporter line rescues the carpel and shoot phenotype of clv1-101 mutants.

Figure supplement 2. Two independent translational CLV1 reporter lines show exactly the same expression pattern.

Figure supplement 3. CLV1 expression in multiple inflorescence meristem (IFMs).

To integrate our finding that *CLE40* expression is repressed by WUS activity with the observation that *WUS*, in turn, is promoted by *CLE40* signalling, we hypothesize that the *CLE40-BAM1-WUS* interaction establishes a new negative feedback loop. The *CLE40-BAM1-WUS* negative feedback loop acts in the meristem periphery, while the *CLV3-CLV1-WUS* negative feedback loop acts in the meristem centre along the apical-basal axis. Both pathways act in parallel during development to regulate the



Figure 5. *BAM1* expression is elevated in the flanks of the inflorescence meristem (IFM) and not detectable in the organizing centre (OC). (**A**) Maximum intensity projection (MIP) of *BAM1* under its endogenous promoter (*BAM1:BAM1-GFP//bam1-3*) at 5 weeks after germination (WAG). *BAM1* expression is detected nearly throughout the entire inflorescence (IFM, flower meristem [FM], sepals) with weak expression in the central zone (CZ) of IFM and FMs (N = 15). (**A**') MIP of the IFM from (**A**) without propidium iodide (PI) staining. (**B**) The layer 1 (L1) projection of the IFM shows ubiquitous expression of *Figure 5 continued on next page*

Schlegel et al. eLife 2021;10:e70934. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.70934



Figure 5 continued

BAM1. (C) Longitudinal optical section through the IFM shows elevated BAM1 expression in the flanks (yellow arrows) and a lack of BAM1 expression in the OC. (C') XZ section from (C) without PI staining. (P1–P6) BAM1 expression is found in all primordia cells. (D) MIP of BAM1 in a *clv1-20* mutant (BAM1:BAM1-GFP//bam1-3;*clv1-20*). BAM1 expression is detected in most parts of the inflorescence, especially in the centre of the IFM and FMs (N = 9). (E) Cross-section (XY) of the IFM (from D) shows BAM1 expression in a *clv1-20* mutant in the CZ (IFM and FMs) and the L1/L2. (F) Longitudinal optical section through the meristem (from D) shows BAM1 expression in the OC and the L1, while no BAM1 expression is detected in the peripheral zone (PZ) (yellow arrows). Dashed white and orange lines indicate longitudinal sections; dashed yellow lines in (C) and (F) mark the OC area, yellow lines (P1–P6) indicate the IFM region, white lines (P1–P6) mark the primordium and yellow arrows indicate high (C) or no (F) BAM1 expression in the PZ. Scale bars: 50 µm (A, D), 20 µm (B, C, E, F), 10 µm (P1–P6). P: primordium.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 5:

Figure supplement 1. The translational BAM1:BAM1-GFP reporter line rescues the shoot phenotype of the double mutant bam1-3;clv1-20.

Figure supplement 2. The translational BAM1 reporter line shows similar expression in three independent T1 lines.

Figure supplement 3. Expression of the translational BAM1 reporter (*BAM1:BAM1-GFP*) shifts from the PZ in *bam1-3* mutants to the organizing centre (OC) and L1 in *bam1-3;clv1-20* double mutants.

Figure supplement 4. Quantification of expression pattern of the translational BAM1 reporter line in bam1-3 (N = 9) and bam1-3; clv1-20 (N = 9) mutants.

Figure supplement 5. BAM1 and CLV1 are receptors for CLE40 and CLV3, respectively.

Figure supplement 6. Expression patterns of CLE40 and BAM1 overlap in the inflorescence meristem (IFM).

size of the WUS expression domain in the meristem, possibly by perceiving input signals from two different regions, the CZ and the PZ, of the meristem.

We then asked how the two signalling pathways converge on the regulation of *WUS* expression, control meristem growth and development. So far, we showed that both *CLV3-CLV1* and *CLE40-BAM1* signalling control meristem size, but in an antagonistic manner. However, we noticed that the different mutations in peptides and receptors affected distinct aspects of meristem shape. We therefore analysed meristem shape by measuring meristem height (the apical-basal axis) at its centre, and meristem diameter (the radial axis) at the base in longitudinal sections. The ratio of height to width then gives a shape parameter ' σ ' (from the Greek word $\sigma \chi \eta \mu \alpha$ = shape). In young IFMs at 4–5 WAG, when inflorescence stems were approximately 5–8 cm long, meristems of *cle40-2* and *bam1-3* mutants were slightly reduced in width, and strongly reduced in height, resulting in reduced σ in comparison to *Col-0* (*Figure 7G, Figure 7—figure supplement 3*). Meristems of *clv1-101* and *clv3-9* mutants were similar in width to wild type, but strongly increased in height, giving high σ values (*Figure 7, Figure 7—figure supplement 3*). This indicates that *CLV3-CLV1* signalling mostly restricts meristem growth along the apical-basal axis, while *CLE40-BAM1* signalling promotes meristem growth along both axes.

Our data expand the current model of shoot meristem homeostasis by taking into account that stem cells are lost from the OC during organ initiation in the PZ (*Figure 8*). CLV3 signals from the CZ via CLV1 in the meristem centre to confine *WUS* expression to the OC. The diffusion of WUS protein along the apical-basal axis towards the meristem tip establishes the CZ and activates *CLV3* expression as a feedback signal. During plant growth, rapid cell division activity and organ initiation requires the replenishment of PZ cells from the CZ, which can be mediated by increased *WUS* activity. We now propose that the PZ generates CLE40 as a short-range or autocrine signal that acts through BAM1 in the meristem periphery. Since *BAM1* and *WUS* expression does not overlap, we postulate the generation of a diffusible factor that relies on *CLE40-BAM1* and acts from the PZ to promote *WUS* expression. WUS, in turn, represses *CLE40* expression from the OC, thus establishing a second negative feedback regulation. Together, the two intertwined pathways serve to adjust WUS activity in the OC and incorporate information on the actual size of the stem cell domain, via *CLV3-CLV1*, and the growth requirements from the PZ via *CLE40-BAM1*.

Discussion

Shoot meristems are the centres of growth and organ production throughout the life of a plant. Meristems fulfil two main tasks, which are the maintenance of a non-differentiating stem cell pool, and the assignment of stem cell daughters to lateral organ primordia and differentiation pathways (*Hall and Watt, 1989*). Shoot meristem homeostasis requires extensive communication between the



Figure 6. Inflorescence meristem (IFM) size of single and double mutants. XZ sections through the centre of IFMs of (A) Col-0, (B) cle40-2, (C) clv3-9, (D) bam1-3;clv1-101, (E) clv1-101, (F) clv1-101;cle40-2, (G) bam1-3 and (H) bam1-3;cle40-2 plants. (I) Box and whisker plot of the IFM area size of Col-0 (N = 82), various single (clv3-9 [N = 22], cle40-2 [N = 42], clv1-101 [N = 32], bam1-3 [N = 68]) and double mutants (cle40-2;clv1-101 [N = 37], cle40-2;bam1-3 [N = 25] and bam1-3;clv1-101 [N = 36]) at 6 weeks after germination (WAG). The yellow dashed line depicts the Figure 6 continued on next page

Figure 6 continued

area of the meristem that was measured, and the dashed red line indicates the height of the meristems. Scale bar: $20 \mu m$ (A–H). Statistical groups were assigned after calculating p-values by ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test (differential grouping from p≤0.01).

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 6:

Figure supplement 1. bam1-3 and bam1-3;clv1-101 mutants are resistant to CLE40 peptide treatment.



Figure 7. CLE40 and BAM1 promote *WUS* expression. (**A**–**E**') Maximum intensity projection (MIP) and longitudinal optical section of inflorescences at 5 weeks after germination (WAG) expressing the transcriptional reporter *WUS:NLS-GFP* in a (**A**, **A**') *Col-0*, (**B**, **B**') *clv1-101*, (**C**, **C**') *clv3-9*, (**D**, **D**') *cle40-2* and (**E**, **E**') *bam1-3* background. In (**A**) wild-type plants, the WUS domain is smaller compared to the expanded WUS domain in (**B**) *clv1-101* and (**C**) *clv3-9* mutants. The WUS domain of (**D**) *cle40-2* and (**E**) *bam1-3* mutants is decreased compared to wild-type plants. Longitudinal optical sections of (**B**') *clv1-101* and (**C**') *clv3-9* mutants expand along the basal-apical axis while the meristem shape of (**D**') *cle40-2* and (**E**') *bam1-3* mutants is flatter compared to (**A**') wild-type plants, (**F**) Box and whisker plot shows the number of *WUS*-expressing cells in a single plane through the organizing centre (OC) of inflorescence meristems (IFMs) of *Col-0* (N = 9), *cle40-2* (N = 9), *clv1-101* (N = 8) and *clv3-9* (N = 5). (**G**) At 5 WAG, *bam1-3* (N = 11) and *cle40-2* (N = 11) mutants have flatter meristems than wild-type plants (decreased σ value compared to *Col-0* [N = 11]), while *clv1-101* [N = 9] and *clv3-9* [N = 6] mutants increase in their IFM height showing a higher σ value. Scale bars: 50 µm (**A–E**), 20 µm (**A–E'**), Statistical groups and stars were assigned after calculating p-values by ANOVA and Tukey's multiple comparison test (differential grouping from p≤0.01). yellow numbers: *WUS*-expressing cells in the *CZ*; σ value: height/width of IFMs.

The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 7:

Figure supplement 1. Number of WUS-expressing cells in the inflorescence meristem (IFM) of various mutant backgrounds detected with Imaris software.

Figure supplement 2. Number of WUS-expressing cells in a longitudinal section through the meristem in multiple inflorescence meristems (IFMs). Figure supplement 3. Inflorescence meristem (IFM) height, width and shape at 5 weeks after germination (WAG).



Figure 8. Schematic model of two intertwined signalling pathways in the shoot meristem. (**A**, **B**) Schematic representation of two negative feedback loops in the inflorescence meristem (IFM) of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. CLV3 in the central zone (CZ) binds to the LRR receptor CLV1 to activate a downstream signalling cascade which leads to the repression of the transcription factor WUS. In a negative feedback loop WUS protein moves to the stem cells to activate *CLV3* gene expression. In the peripheral zone (PZ) of the IFM, a second negative feedback loop controls meristem growth by CLE40 and its receptor BAM1. CLE40 binds to BAM1 in an autocrine manner, leading to the activation of a downstream signal 'X' which promotes WUS activity. WUS protein in turn represses the expression of the *CLE40* gene. Arrows indicate a promoting effect, and the blocked line indicates a repressing signal. The online version of this article includes the following figure supplement(s) for figure 8:

Figure supplement 1. Schematic model of the two intertwined signalling pathways in a clv1-101 mutant background.

Figure supplement 2. Schematic model of the intertwined signalling pathways in a clv3-9 mutant background.

Figure supplement 3. Schematic model of the intertwined signalling pathways in a cle40-2 mutant background.

Figure supplement 4. Schematic model of the intertwined signalling pathways in a bam1-3 mutant background.

CZ, the OC and the PZ. The discovery of CLV3 as a signalling peptide, which is secreted exclusively from stem cells in the CZ, and its interaction with WUS in a negative feedback loop was fundamental to our understanding of such communication pathways (*Fletcher, 2020*). Here, we analysed the function of *CLE40* in shoot development of *Arabidopsis* and found that *WUS* expression in the OC is under positive control from the PZ due to the activity of a CLE40-BAM1 signalling pathway. IFM size is reduced in *cle40* mutants, indicating that *CLE40* signalling promotes meristem size. Importantly, *CLE40* is expressed in the PZ, late-stage FMs and differentiating organs. A common denominator for the complex and dynamic expression pattern is that *CLE40* expression is confined to meristematic tissues, but not in organ founder sites or in regions with high WUS activity, such as the OC and the CZ. Both misexpression of *WUS* in the *CLV3* domain (*Figure 3F*), studies of *clv3* mutants with expanded stem cell domains (*Figure 3B, Figure 3—figure supplement 1*) and analysis of *wus* mutants (*Figure 3, Figure 3—figure 3—figure supplement 2*) underpinned the notion that *CLE40* expression, in contrast to *CLV3*, is negatively controlled in a *WUS*-dependent manner. Furthermore, we found that the number of *WUS*-expressing cells in *cle40* mutant IFMs is strongly reduced, indicating that *CLE40* exerts its positive effects on IFM size by expanding the *WUS* expression domain.

So far, the antagonistic effects of Arabidopsis CLV3 and CLE40 on meristem size can only be compared to the antagonistic functions of MpCLE1 and MpCLE2 on the gametophytic meristems of M. polymorpha, which signal through two distinct receptors, MpTDR and MpCLV1, respectively (**Hata and Kyozuka, 2021**). By the complementation of *clv3* mutants through expression of *CLE40* from the *CLV3* promoter, it was shown previously that *CLE40* and *CLV3* are able to activate the same down-stream receptors (**Hobe et al., 2003**). Our detailed analysis of candidate receptor expression patterns showed that *CLV3* and *CLV1* are expressed in partially overlapping domains in the meristem centre, while *CLE40* and *BAM1* are confined to the meristem periphery. Like *cle40* mutants, *bam1* mutant IFMs are smaller and maintain a smaller *WUS* expression domain, supporting the notion that *CLE40* and *BAM1* comprise a signalling unit that increases meristem size by promoting *WUS* expression. The

antagonistic functions of the CLV3-CLV1 and CLE40-BAM1 pathways in the regulation of WUS are reflected in their complementary expression patterns. There is cross-regulation between these two signalling pathways at two levels: (1) WUS has been previously shown to promote CLV3 levels in the CZ, and we here show that WUS represses (directly or indirectly) CLE40 expression in the OC and in the CZ (Figure 3B, Figure 3—figure supplement 1) and (2) CLV1 represses BAM1 expression in the OC, and thereby restricts BAM1 to the meristem periphery (Figures 5 and 6). In clv1 mutants, BAM1 shifts from the meristem periphery to the OC, and the WUS domain laterally expands in the meristem centre (Figures 5F and 7B'). Furthermore, BAM1 expression increases also in the L1, which could cause the observed irregular expression of WUS in the outermost cell layer of clv1 mutants. The role of BAM1 in the OC is not entirely clear: despite the high-sequence similarity between CLV1 and BAM1, the expression of BAM1 in the OC is not sufficient to compensate for the loss of CLV1 (Figure 5D-F, Nimchuk et al., 2015). In the OC, BAM1 appears to restrict WUS expression to some extent since clv1;bam1 double mutants reveal a drastically expanded IFM (Deyoung and Clark, 2008). However, it is possible that BAM1 in the absence of CLV1 executes a dual function: to repress WUS in response to CLV3 in the OC as a substitute for CLV1 and simultaneously to promote WUS expression in the L1 in response to CLE40.

The expression domains of *CLE40* and its receptor *BAM1* largely coincide, suggesting that CLE40 acts as an autocrine signal. Similarly, protophloem sieve element differentiation in roots is inhibited by CLE45, which acts as an autocrine signal via BAM3 (*Kang and Hardtke, 2016*). Since *WUS* is not expressed in the same cells as *BAM1*, we have to postulate a non-cell-autonomous signal X that is generated in the PZ due to *CLE40-BAM1* signalling and diffuses towards the meristem centre to promote *WUS* expression (*Hohm et al., 2010*). As a result, *CLE40-BAM1* signalling from the PZ will provide the necessary feedback signal that stimulates stem cell activity and thereby serves to replenish cells in the meristem for the initiation of new organs. The *CLV3-CLV1* signalling pathway then adopts the role of a necessary feedback signal that avoids an excessive stem cell production.

The two intertwined, antagonistically acting signalling pathways that we described here allow us to better understand the regulation of shoot meristem growth, development and shape. The previous model, which focussed mainly on the interaction of the CZ and the OC via the *CLV3-CLV1-WUS* negative feedback regulation, lacked any direct regulatory contribution from the PZ. *EPFL* peptides were shown to be expressed in the periphery and to restrict both *CLV3* and *WUS* expression via ER (*Zhang et al., 2021*). However, *EPFL* peptide expression is not reported to be feedback regulated from the OC or CZ, and the main function of the *EPFL-ER* pathway is therefore to restrict overall meristem size (*Zhang et al., 2021*). The second negative feedback loop controlled by CLE40, which we uncovered here, enables the meristem to fine-tune stem cell activities in response to fluctuating requirements for new cells during organ initiation. Due to the combined activities of CLV3 and CLE40, the OC (with WUS as a key player) can now record and compute information from both, the CZ and PZ. Weaker *CLV3* signalling, indicating a reduction in the size of the CZ, induces preferential growth of the meristem along the apical-basal axis (increasing σ), while weaker CLE40 signals, reporting a smaller PZ,

Table 1. Mutar	nts analysed in thi	s study.		
Allele	Gene	Mutation	Reference	Background
bam1-3	AT5G65700	T-DNA	Alonso et al., 2003 ; SALK_015302	Col-0
cle40-2	AT5G12990	Transposon mutation	Stahl et al., 2009 Col-0	
cle40-cr1				
cle40-cr2				
cle40-cr3	AT5G12990	CRISPR	Yamaguchi et al., 2017	Col-0
clv3-9	AT2G27250	EMS	Brand et al., 2000	Col-0
clv1-20	AT1G75820	T-DNA	SALK_008670	Col-0
clv1-101	AT1G75820	T-DNA	Kinoshita et al., 2010 ; CS858348	Col-0
wus-7	AT2G17950	EMS	Graf et al., 2010	L.er.

Table 2. Primers and methods used for genotyping.

Allele	Method	Primer	PCR product
bam1-3	PCR	bam1-3_F: ctaacgactctccgggagct bam1-3_R: taaggaccacagagatcaggattac Lbal_R: tggttcacgtagtgggccatcg	WT amp.: 1208 bp mutant amp.: 998 bp
cle40-2	dCAPS	cle40-2_F: GGAGAAACACAAGATACGAAAGCCATG cle40-2_R: ATTGTGATTTGATACCAACTTAAAA	Restriction enzyme: Asel WT amp.: 460 + 200 bp mutant amp.: 410 + 200 + 60 bp
cle40-cr1			
cle40-cr2 cle40-cr3	dCAPS	cle40-cr_F: ATGGCGGCGATGAAATACAA cle40-cr_R: GTTACGCTTTGGCATCTTTCC	Restriction enzyme: BamHI WT amp.: 750 bp mutant amp.: 491 + 259 bp
clv1-20	PCR	clv1-20_F: TTTGAATAGTGTGTGACCAAATTTGA clv1-20_R: TCCAATGGTAATTCACCGGTG LBa.1: TGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCG	WT amp.: 860 bp mutant amp: 1200 bp
clv1-101	PCR	clv1-101_F: TTCTCCAAATTCACCAACAGG clv1-101_R: CAACGGAGAAATCCCTAAAGG WiscLox_LT6_R: AATAGCCTTTACTTGAGTTGGCGTAAAAG	WT amp.: 1158 bp mutant amp.: 896 bp
wus-7	dCAPS	wus-7_F: CCGACCAAGAAAGCGGCAACA wus-7_R: AGACGTTCTTGCCCTGAATCTTT	Restriction enzyme: Xmnl WT amplification: 216 bp mutant amp.: 193 + 23 bp

would decrease σ and flatten meristem shape. It will be intriguing to investigate if different levels of CLV3 and CLE40 also contribute to the shape changes that are observed during early vegetative development or upon floral transition in *Arabidopsis*.

Many shoot-expressed CLE peptides are encoded in the genomes of maize, rice and barley, which could act analogously to CLV3 and CLE40 of *Arabidopsis*. It is tempting to speculate that in grasses a CLE40-like, stem cell-promoting signalling pathway is more active than a CLV3-like, stem cell-restricting pathway. This could contribute to the typical shape of cereal SAMs, which are, compared to the dome-shaped SAM of dicotyledonous plants, extended along the apical-basal axis.

Materials and methods

Plant material and growth conditions

All wild-type Arabidopsis thaliana (L.) Heynh. plants used in this study are ecotype Columbia-0 (Col-0), except for wus-7 mutants which are in Landsberg erecta (L.er.) background. Details about A. thaliana plants carrying mutations in the following alleles – bam1-3, cle40-2, cle40-cr1, cle40-cr2, cle40-cr3, clv1-101, clv3-9 and wus-7 – are described in **Table 1**. All mutants are in Col-0 background and are assumed to be null mutants, except for wus-7 mutants. cle40 mutants (cle40-2, cle40-cr1, cle40-cr2, cle40-cr3) have either a stop codon, a T-DNA insertion or deletion in or before the crucial CLE box domain. clv3-9 mutants were generated in 2003 by the lab of R. Simon. clv3-9 mutants were created by EMS, resulting in a W62STOP mutation before the critical CLE domain region. bam1-3 and clv1-101 mutants have been described as null mutants before (**DeYoung et al., 2006; Kinoshita et al., 2010**), while clv1-20 is a weak allele which contains a insertion within the 5'-UTR of CLV1 and results in a reduced mRNA level (**Durbak and Tax, 2011**). wus-7 is a weak allele and mutants were described in previous publications (**Graf et al., 2010**). Double mutants were obtained by crossing the single mutant plants until both mutations were proven to be homozygous for both alleles. Genotyping of the plants was performed either by PCR or dCAPS method with the primers and restrictions enzymes listed in **Table 2**.

Before sowing, seeds were either sterilized for 10 min in an ethanol solution (80% v/v ethanol, 1.3% w/v sodium hypochloride, 0.02% w/v SDS) or for 1 hr in a desiccator in a chloric gas atmosphere (50 mL of 13% w/v sodium hypochlorite with 1 mL 37% HCl). Afterwards, seeds were stratified for 48 hr at 4°C in darkness. Seeds on soil were then cultivated in phytochambers under long day (LD) conditions (16 hr light/8 hr dark) at 21°C. For selection of seeds or imaging of vegetative meristems, seeds were sowed on ½ Murashige & Skoog (MS) media (1% w/v sucrose, 0.22% w/v MS salts + B5

vitamins, 0.05% w/v MES, 12 g/L plant agar, adjusted to pH 5.7 with KOH) in squared Petri dishes. Seeds in Petri dishes were kept in phytocabinets under continuous light conditions at 21°C and 60% humidity.

Cloning of reporter lines

The CLE40 (*CLE40:Venus-H2B*) reporter line was cloned using the Gateway method (*Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003*). The vector *CLE40:Venus-H2B* carries a 2291 bp long DNA fragment extending 5' from the translational start codon of *CLE40* that drives the expression of a *Venus-H2B* fusion protein. The DNA fragment was amplified via PCR using the oligonucleotides proCLE40_F and proCLE40_R (Table 5). As PCR template, wild-type *Col-0* DNA was used. The fragment was inserted in

ectors used for cloning.			
Description	Bacterial resistance	Backbone	Reference/origin
BAM1 promoter 3522 bp upstream from transcription start	Ampicillin	pGGA000	Grégoire Denay
CLE40 promoter 2291 bp upstream from translational start codon	Kanamycin	pENTR/D-TOPO	Rene Wink
CLV3 promoter 1480 bp upstream from transcription start	Ampicillin	pGGA000	Jenia Schlegel
CLV1 promoter 5759 bp upstream from transcription start	Ampicillin	pGGA000	Patrick Blümke
Omega-element	Ampicillin	pGGB000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
SV40 NLS (SIMIAN VIRUS 40 NUCLEAR LOCALIZATION SIGNAL)	Ampicillin	pGGB000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
BAM1 coding region genomic region of BAM1 START to one codon before STOP, including introns, internal Bsal sites removed	Ampicillin	pGGC000	Grégoire Denay
CLV1 coding region 2946 bp coding region amplified from genomic Col-0 DNA without STOP codon and internal Bsal site removed	Ampicillin	pGGC000	Jenia Schlegel
3x mCherry	Ampicillin	pGGC000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
linker(10aa)-eGFP	Ampicillin	pGGD000	Grégoire Denay
d-dummy	Ampicillin	pGGD000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
CLV3 terminator 1257 bp downstream of transcription stop	Ampicillin	pGGE000	Jenia Schlegel
UBQ10 terminator	Ampicillin	pGGE000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
pNOS:BastaR (chi sequence removed):tNOS	Ampicillin	pGGF000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
pMAS:D-AlaR:tMAS	Ampicillin	pGGF000	Lampropoulos et al., 2013
	Description BAM1 promoter 3522 bp upstream from transcription start CLE40 promoter 2291 bp upstream from translational start codon CLV3 promoter 1480 bp upstream from transcription start CLV1 promoter 5759 bp upstream from transcription start Omega-element SV40 NLS (SIMIAN VIRUS 40 NUCLEAR LOCALIZATION SIGNAL) BAM1 coding region genomic region of BAM1 START to one codon before STOP, including introns, internal Bsal sites removed CLV1 coding region 2946 bp coding region amplified from genomic Col-0 DNA without STOP codon and internal Bsal site removed 3x mCherry linker(10aa)-eGFP d-dummy CLV3 terminator 1257 bp downstream of transcription stop UBQ10 terminator pNOS:BastaR (chi sequence removed):tNOS pMAS:D-AlaR:tMAS	Bacterial resistanceDescriptionBacterial resistanceBAM1 promoter 3522 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinCLE40 promoter 2291 bp upstream from translational start codonKanamycinCLV3 promoter 1480 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinCLV1 promoter 5759 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinOmega-elementAmpicillinSV40 NLS (SIMIAN VIRUS 40 NUCLEAR LOCALIZATION SIGNAL)AmpicillinBAM1 coding region genomic region of BAM1 START to one codon before STOP, including introns, internal Bsal sites removedAmpicillinCLV1 coding region 2946 bp coding region amplified from genomic Col-0 DNA without STOP codon and internal Bsal site removedAmpicillin3x mCherryAmpicillinAmpicillinInker(10aa)-eGFPAmpicillinUBQ10 terminator transcription stopAmpicillinpNOS:BastaR (chi sequence removed):tNOSAmpicillinpMAS:D-AlaR:tMASAmpicillin	DescriptionBacterial resistanceBackboneBAM1 promoter 3522 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinpGGA000CLE40 promoter 2291 bp upstream from translational start codonKanamycinpENTR/D-TOPOCLV3 promoter 1480 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinpGGA000CLV1 promoter 1780 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinpGGA000CLV1 promoter 5759 bp upstream from transcription startAmpicillinpGGA000Omega-elementAmpicillinpGGB000SV40 NLS (SIMIAN VIRUS 40 NUCLEAR LOCALI ZATION SIGNAL)AmpicillinpGGB000BAM1 coding region genomic region of BAM1 START to one codon before STOP, including introns, internal Bsal sites removedAmpicillinpGGC000CLV1 coding region amplified from genomic Col-0 DNA without STOP codon and internal Bsal site removedAmpicillinpGGC0003x mCherryAmpicillinpGGC000gGC000Linker(10aa)-eGFPAmpicillinpGGD000CLV3 terminator 1257 bp downstream of transcription stopAmpicillinpGGE000UBQ10 terminator transcription stopAmpicillinpGGE000PNOS:BastaR (chi sequence removed):tNOSAmpicillinpGGF000pMAS:D-AlaR:tMASAmpicillinpGGF000

 Table 4. Destination vectors used to generate transgenic A. thaliana reporter lines.

Name	Backbone	Promoter	N-tag	CDS	C-tag	Terminator	Resistance
						tUBQ10	
BAM1:BAM1-GFP	pGGZ001	proBAM1	Ω-element (pGGB002)	BAM1-CDS	linker-GFP (pGD165)	(pGGE009)	D-Alanin (pGGF003)
CLE40:Venus-H2B	pMDC99	proCLE40	-	Venus	H2B	T3A	Hygromycin
						tUBQ10	
CLV1:CLV1-GFP	pGGZ001	proCLV1	Ω-element (pGGB002)	CLV1-CDS	linker-GFP (pGD165)	(pGGE009)	BastaR (pGGF008)
CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry	pGGZ001	proCLV3	SV40 NLS	3x-mCherry (pGGC026)	d-dummy (pGGD002)	tCLV3	BastaR (pGGF008)

pENTR-D-TOPO via directional TOPO-cloning. The insert was then transferred into a modified plant transformation vector pMDC99 containing the *Venus-H2B* sequence (*Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003*).

CLV1 (*CLV1:CLV1-GFP*), BAM1 (*BAM1:BAM1-GFP*) and CLV3 (*CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry*) reporter lines were cloned using the GreenGate method (*Lampropoulos et al., 2013*). Entry and destination plasmids are listed in *Table 3* and *Table 4*. Promoter and coding sequences were PCR amplified from genomic *Col-0* DNA which was extracted from rosette leaves of *Col-0* plants. Primers used for amplification of promoters and coding sequences can be found in *Table 5* with the specific overhangs used for the GreenGate cloning system. Coding sequences were amplified without the stop codon to allow transcription of fluorophores at the C-terminus. Bsal restriction sites were removed by site-directed mutagenesis using the 'QuikChange II Kit' following the manufacturer's instructions (Agilent Technologies). Plasmid DNA amplification was performed by heat-shock transformation into *Escherichia coli* DH5α cells (10 min on ice, 1 min at 42°C, 1 min on ice, 1 hr shaking at 37°C), which were subsequently plated on selective LB medium (1% w/v tryptone, 0.5% w/v yeast extract, 0.5% w/v NaCl) and cultivated overnight at 37°C. All entry and destination plasmids were validated by restriction digest and Sanger sequencing.

Table 5.	Primers used for cloning the entry vectors.
Name	Primer
proBAM1 (pGD288)	F: AAAGGTCTCAACCTATGATCCGATCCTCAAAAGTATGTA R: AAAGGTCTCATGTTTCTCTCTATCTCTTGTGTG
BAM1_ CDS (pGD351)	F: TTTGGTCTCAGGCTCTATGAAACTTTTTCTTCTCCTTC R:TTTGGTCTCACTGATAGATTGAGTAGATCCGGC Bsal-site_#1_F: CTTGATCTCTCCGGACTCAACCTCTCCGG Bsal-site_#1_R: CCGGAGAGGTTGAGTCCGGAGAGATCAAG Bsal-site_#2_F: CTCATGTTGCTGACTTTGGACTCGCTAAATTCCTTCAAG Bsal-site_#2_R: CTTGAAGGAATTTAGCGAGTCCAAAGTCAGCAACATGAG
proCLE40	F: CACCGTTAAGCCAAGTAAGTACCACAGC R: CATTTCAAAAACCTCTTTGTG
proCLV1	F: AAAGGTCTCAACCTGACTATTGTTTATACTTAGTTG R: TTTGGTCTCATGTTCATTTTTTTAGTGTCCTC
CLV1_CDS	F: AAAGGTCTCAGGCTTAATGGCGATGAGAC R: TTTGGTCTCACTGAACGCGATCAAGTTC BasI-site_#1_F: CTAAAGGACACGGACTGCACGACTG BasI-site_#1_R: CAGTCGTGCAGTCCGTGTCCTTTAG BasI-site_#2_F: CTTAGAGTATCTTGGACTGAACGGAGCTGG BasI-site_#2_R: CCAGCTCCGTTCAGTCCAAGATACTCTAAG
proCLV3	F: AAAGGTCTCAACCTCGGATTATCCATAATAAAAAC R:AAAGGTCTCATGTTTTTTAGAGAGAAAGTGACTGAG
tCLV3	F: TTTGGTCTCTCTGCCGCCCTAATCTCTTGTT R: TTTGGTCTCGTGATATGTGTGTTTTTTCTAAACAATC

Generation of stable A. thaliana lines

Generation of stable A. *thaliana* lines was done by using the floral dip method (**Clough and Bent**, **1998**).

Translational CLV1 (CLV1:CLV1-GFP) and transcriptional CLV3 (CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry) reporter carry the BASTA plant resistance cassette. T1 seeds were sown on soil and sprayed with Basta (120 mg/mL) at 5 and 10 DAG. Seeds of ~10 independent Basta-resistant lines were harvested. The transcriptional CLE40 (CLE40:Venus-HB) reporter carries the hygromycin plant resistance cassette. T1 seeds were sown on ½ MS media containing 15 µg/mL hygromycin. The translational BAM1 (BAM1:BAM1-GFP) reporter line carries a D-Alanin resistance cassette and T1 seeds were sown on ½ MS media containing 3-4 mM D-Alanin. Only viable plants (~10 T1 lines) were selected for the T2 generation. T2 seeds were then selected on $\frac{1}{2}$ MS media supplied with either 15 µg/mL hygromycin, 3–4 mM D-Alanin or 10 µg/ mL of DL-phosphinothricin (PPT) as a BASTA alternative. Only plants from lines showing about ~75% viability were kept and cultivated under normal plant conditions (21°C, LD). Last, T3 seeds were plated on ½ MS media supplied with 3-4 mM D-Alanin or PPT again and plant lines showing 100% viability were kept as homozygous lines. The CLE40:Venus-H2B, CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry and CLV1:CLV1-GFP constructs were transformed into Col-0 wild-type plants, and stable T3 lines were generated. Plants carrying the CLE40:Venus-H2B reporter were crossed into homozygous clv3-9 or heterozygous wus-7 mutants. Homozygous clv3-9 mutants were detected by its obvious phenotype and were bred into a stable F3 generation. Homozygous wus-7 mutants were identified by phenotype and DNA genotype. Seeds were kept in the F2 generation since homozygous wus-7 plants do not develop seeds. The CLE40:Venus-H2B reporter line was crossed with the CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry reporter line and was brought into a stable F3 generation. To generate the CLE40:Venus-H2B//CLV3:WUS line, plants carrying the CLE40:Venus-H2B line were transformed with the CLV3:WUS construct. T1 seeds were sown on 10 µg/mL of DL-phosphinothricin (PPT) and the viable seedlings were imaged. Plants carrying the CLV1:CLV1-GFP construct were crossed into bam1-3, cle40-2, clv3-9 and clv1-101 mutants until a homozygous mutant background was reached. BAM1:BAM1-GFP lines were transformed into bam1-3 mutants and subsequently crossed into the clv1-20 mutant background which rescued the extremely fasciated meristem phenotype of bam1-3;clv1-20 double mutants (Figure 5D-F). BAM1:BAM1-GFP// bam1-3 plants were also crossed into cle40-2 and clv3-9 mutants until a homozygous mutant background was achieved. The CLE40:CLE40-GFP line was previously described in Stahl et al., 2009. The WUS:NLS-GFP;CLV3:NLS-mCherry reporter line was a gift from the Lohmann lab and was crossed into clv3-9, cle40-2, clv1-101 and bam1-3 mutants until a stable homozygous F3 generation was reached respectively.

Detailed information of all used A. thaliana lines can be found in Table 6.

Confocal imaging of IFMs

To image IFMs in vivo, plants were grown under LD (16 hr light/8 hr dark) conditions and inflorescences were cut off at 5 or 6 WAG. Inflorescences were stuck on double-sided adhesive tape on an objective slide and dissected until only the meristem and primordia from P0 to maximum P10 were visible. Next, inflorescences were stained with either propidium iodide (PI 5 mM) or 4',6-diamidin-2-phenylindol (DAPI 1 µg/mL) for 2–5 min. Inflorescences were then washed three times with water and subsequently covered with water and a cover slide and placed under the microscope. Imaging was performed with a Zeiss LSM780 or LSM880 using a W Plan-Apochromat 40×/1.2 objective. Laser excitation, emission detection range and detector information for fluorophores and staining can be found in Table 7. All IFMs were imaged from the top taking XY images along the Z axis, resulting in a Z-stack through the inflorescence. The vegetative meristems were imaged as described for IFMs. Live imaging of the reporter lines in A. thaliana plants was performed by dissecting primary inflorescences (except for clv3-9 mutants) at 5 WAG under LD conditions. For imaging of the reporter lines in the mutant backgrounds of clv3-9, secondary IFMs were dissected since the primary meristems are highly fasciated. Vegetative meristems were cultivated in continuous light conditions at 21°C on ½ MS media plates and were imaged at 10 DAG. For each reporter line, at least three independent experiments were performed and at least five IFMs were imaged.

Table 6. Arabidopsis lines that were analysed in this study.

Name/construct	Background	Plant resistance	Generation	Reference
BAM1:BAM1-GFP	bam1-3	D-Ala	Τ4	This study
BAM1:BAM1-GFP	bam1-3;clv1-20	D-Ala	F3	This study
BAM1:BAM1-GFP	bam1-3;clv3-9	D-Ala	F3	This study
BAM1:BAM1-GFP	bam1-3;cle40-2	D-Ala	F3	This study
CLE40:Venus-H2B	Col-0	Hygromycin	T5	This study
CLE40:Venus-H2B	clv3-9	Hygromycin	F3	This study
CLE40:Venus-H2B	wus-7	Hygromycin	F2	This study
CLE40:Venus-H2B	CLV3:WUS//Col-0	Hygromycin/ Basta	T1*	This study
CLE40:CLE40-GFP	Col-0	N/A	Т3	Stahl et al., 2009
CLV1:CLV1-GFP	Col-0	Basta	Τ4	This study
CLV1:CLV1-GFP	bam1-3	Basta	F3	This study
CLV1:CLV1-GFP	clv3-9	Basta	F3	This study
CLV1:CLV1-GFP	cle40-2	Basta	F3	This study
CLV1:CLV1-2xGFP	clv1-11	Basta	N/A	Nimchuk et al., 2011
CLV3:NLS- 3xmCherry	CLE40:Venus-H2B//Col-0	Basta/ hygromycin	F3	This study
CLV3:NLS- mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	Col-0	Kanamycin	N/A	Anne Pfeiffer
CLV3:NLS- mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	cle40-2	Kanamycin	F3	This study
CLV3:NLS- mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	bam1-3	Kanamycin	F3	This study
CLV3:NLS- mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	clv1-101	Kanamycin	F3	This study
CLV3:NLS- mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	clv3-9	Kanamycin	F3	This study

*Plants do not overcome seedling stage.

Table	7.	Microscopy	settings	used fo	r imaging.
			<u> </u>		J J

Fluorophore/ staining	Excitation (nm)	Emission (nm)	MBS	Detector	Light source
DAPI	405	410–490	405	PMT	Diode
GFP	488	500–545	488/561	GaAsP	Argon laser
Venus	514	518–540	458/514	GaAsP	Argon laser
mCherry	561	570–640	458/561	PMT	DPSS laser
PI	561	595–650	488/561	PMT	DPSS laser

PMT, photomultiplier tubes; DPSS, diode-pumped solid state.

Phenotyping of CLV mutants

For meristem measurements (area size, width and height), primary and secondary IFMs of wild-type (*Col-0*) and mutant plants (*cle40-2*, *cle40-cr1-3*, *bam1-3*, *cle40-2*; *bam1-3*, *clv1-101*) were dissected at 6 WAG under LD conditions. For *clv3-9* and *clv1-101*; *bam1-3*, only secondary IFMs were imaged and analysed due to the highly fasciated primary meristems. Longitudinal optical sections of the Z-stacks were performed through the middle of the meristem starting in the centre of primordia P5 and ending in the centre of primordia P4. Based on the longitudinal optical sections (XZ), meristem height and area size were measured as indicated in *Figure 6*. IFM sizes from *Figure 1E* are also used in *Figure 6E* for *Col-0*, *cle40-2* and *clv3-9* plants.

Same procedure was used to count the cells expressing *WUS* in different mutant backgrounds (*Figure 7A–E*). Longitudinal optical sections of IFMs at 5 WAG were performed from P4 to P5, and only nuclei within the meristem area were counted and plotted. For analyses of carpel numbers, the oldest 10–15 siliques per plant at 5 WAG were used. Each carpel was counted as 1, independent of its size. N number depicts number of siliques. Leaf measurements were performed at 4 WAG, and four leaves of each plant were measured and plotted. Data was obtained from at least three independent experiments.

Root length assay

Effects of CLE40 peptide treatment on root growth were analysed by cultivating seedlings (*Col-0*, *clv1-101*, *bam1-3* and *bam1-3;clv1-101*) on ½ MS agar plates (squared) supplied with or without synthetic CLE40p at indicated concentrations. The plates were kept upright in continuous light at 21°C and 60% relative humidity. Root growth was measured at 11 DAG by scanning plates and analysed using ImageJ to measure root lengths. For each genotype and condition, 20–48 single roots were measured. Graphs and statistical analyses were done with Prism v.8.

Data analysis

For visualization of images, the open-source software ImageJ v 1.53c (*Schneider et al., 2012*) was used. All images were adjusted in 'Brightness and Contrast'. IFMs in *Figure 7* were imaged with identical microscopy settings (except for *clv3-9* mutants) and were all changed in 'Brightness and Contrast' with the same parameters to ensure comparability. *clv3-9* mutants were imaged with a higher laser power since meristems are highly fasciated. MIPs were created by using the 'Z-Projection' function and longitudinal optical sections were performed with the 'Reslice...' function, resulting in the XZ view of the image. Meristem width, height and area size were measured with the 'Straight line' for width and height and the 'Polygon selection' for area size. The shape parameter σ was calculated by the quotient of height and width from each IFM. For L1 visualization, the open-source software MorphoGraphX (https://www.mpipz.mpg.de/MorphoGraphX/) was used that was developed by Richard Smith. 2½ D images were created by following the steps in the MorphoGraphX manual (*Barbier de Reuille et al., 2015*). After both channels (PI and fluorophore signal) were projected to the created mesh, both images were merged using ImageJ v 1.53c.

For all statistical analyses, GraphPad Prism v8.0.0.224 was used. Statistical groups were assigned after calculating p-values by ANOVA and Tukey's or Dunnett's multiple comparison test (differential grouping from $p \le 0.01$) as indicated under each figure. Same letters indicate no statistical differences.

Intensity plot profiles were measured with the 'plot profile' function in Fiji and plotted in GraphPad Prism. Each intensity profile was normalized. For each genotype, nine meristems were analysed and the mean of all nine meristems with its corresponding error bars (standard deviation) was plotted (*Figure 5—figure supplement 3*).

Imaris software was used to detect *WUS*-expressing cells within the entire IFMs (MIP) of different mutant backgrounds. The 'spot detection' function in Imaris was used with the same algorithm for *Col-*0, *cle40-2*, *bam1-3* genotypes ([Algorithm] Enable Region Of Interest = false; Enable Region Growing = false; Enable Tracking = false; [Source Channel]; Source Channel Index = 2; Estimated; Diameter = 3.00 um; Background Subtraction = true; [Classify Spots] "Quality" above 3000). Due to the highly fasciated meristems in *clv1-101* and *clv3-9* mutants, the threshold for 'Quality' for *WUS*-expressing cells was set to 1000.

All plasmid maps and cloning strategies were created and planned using the software VectorNTI.

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by DFG through iGrad-Plant (IRTG 2466), CRC 1208 and CEPLAS (EXC 2048). We thank Cornelia Gieseler, Silke Winters and Carin Theres for technical support and Yasuka L Yamaguchi (Sawa lab) and Anne Pfeiffer (Lohmann lab) for sharing *Arabidopsis* seeds. We also thank Vicky Howe for proofreading the manuscript, the Center for Advanced imaging (CAi) at HHU for microscopy support and Aleksandra Sapala for support with MorphoGraphX.

Additional information

Funding

Grant reference number	Author
CEPLAS (EXC2048)	Rüdiger GW Simon
igrad-plant	Rüdiger GW Simon Rene Wink Jenia Schlegel
CRC1208	Rüdiger GW Simon Gregoire Denay
	Grant reference number CEPLAS (EXC2048) iGRAD-PLANT CRC1208

The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation, or the decision to submit the work for publication.

Author contributions

Jenia Schlegel, Investigation, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing; Gregoire Denay, Karine Gustavo Pinto, Yvonne Stahl, Julia Schmid, Patrick Blümke, Investigation; Rene Wink, Resources; Rüdiger GW Simon, Conceptualization, Funding acquisition, Investigation, Project administration, Supervision, Writing - original draft, Writing - review and editing

Author ORCIDs

Jenia Schlegel b http://orcid.org/0000-0003-0434-4479 Gregoire Denay b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-8850-3029 Patrick Blümke b http://orcid.org/0000-0001-7315-6792 Rüdiger GW Simon b http://orcid.org/0000-0002-1317-7716

Decision letter and Author response

Decision letter https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.70934.sa1 Author response https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.70934.sa2

Additional files

Supplementary files

• Transparent reporting form

Data availability

Original microscopy and image analysis data represented in the manuscript are available via Dryad (https://doi.org/10.5061/dryad.1g1jwstwf) and BioStudies (https://www.ebi.ac.uk/biostudies/studies/ S-BSST723). The following dataset was generated:

Author(s)	Year	Dataset title	Dataset URL	Database and Identifier
Schlegel J, Denay G, Stahl Y, Schmid J, Blümke P H, Wink R G, Pinto K, Simon R	2021	Control of Arabidopsis shoot stem cell homeostasis by two antagonistic CLE peptide signalling pathways	https://www.ebi. ac.uk/biostudies/ studies/S-BSST723	Dryad Digital Repository, 10.5061/dryad.1g1jwstwf
Schlegel J	2021	Control of Arabidopsis shoot stem cell homeostasis by two antagonistic CLE peptide signalling pathways	https://www.ebi. ac.uk/biostudies/ studies/S-BSST723	BioStudies, S-BSST723

References

- Alonso JM, Stepanova AN, Leisse TJ, Kim CJ, Chen H, Shinn P, Stevenson DK, Zimmerman J, Barajas P, Cheuk R, Gadrinab C, Heller C, Jeske A, Koesema E, Meyers CC, Parker H, Prednis L, Ansari Y, Choy N, Ecker JR. 2003. Genome-wide insertional mutagenesis of Arabidopsis thaliana. *Science* **301**: 653–657. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1126/science.1086391
- Barbier de Reuille P, Routier-Kierzkowska AL, Kierzkowski D, Bassel GW, Schüpbach T, Tauriello G, Bajpai N, Strauss S, Weber A, Kiss A, Burian A, Hofhuis H, Sapala A, Lipowczan M, Heimlicher MB, Robinson S, Bayer EM, Basler K, Koumoutsakos P, Roeder AHK, et al. 2015. MorphoGraphX: A platform for quantifying morphogenesis in 4D. *eLife* **4**: 05864. DOI: https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05864, PMID: 25946108
- Berckmans B, Kirschner G, Gerlitz N, Stadler R, Simon R. 2020. CLE40 signaling regulates root stem cell fate. Plant Physiology 182: 1776–1792. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.19.00914, PMID: 31806736
- Betsuyaku S, Takahashi F, Kinoshita A, Miwa H, Shinozaki K, Fukuda H, Sawa S. 2011. Mitogen-activated protein kinase regulated by the CLAVATA receptors contributes to shoot apical meristem homeostasis. *Plant & Cell Physiology* 52: 14–29. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcg157, PMID: 20965998
- Bleckmann A, Weidtkamp-Peters S, Seidel CAM, Simon R. 2010. Stem cell signaling in Arabidopsis requires CRN to localize CLV2 to the plasma membrane. *Plant Physiology* **152**: 166–176. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp. 109.149930, PMID: 19933383
- **Blümke P**, Schlegel J, Gonzalez-Ferrer C, Becher S, Pinto KG, Monaghan J, Simon R. 2021. Receptor-like cytoplasmic kinase MAZZA mediates developmental processes with CLAVATA1 family receptors in Arabidopsis. *Journal of Experimental Botany* **72**: 4853–4870. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erab183, PMID: 33909893
- Bommert P, Je BI, Goldshmidt A, Jackson D. 2013. The maize Gα gene COMPACT PLANT2 functions in CLAVATA signalling to control shoot meristem size. *Nature* **502**: 555–558. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12583, PMID: 24025774
- Brand U, Fletcher JC, Hobe M, Meyerowitz EM, Simon R. 2000. Dependence of stem cell fate in Arabidopsis on a feedback loop regulated by CLV3 activity. *Science* **289**: 617–619. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.289. 5479.617, PMID: 10915624
- Brand U, Grünewald M, Hobe M, Simon R. 2002. Regulation of CLV3 expression by two homeobox genes in Arabidopsis. *Plant Physiology* **129**: 565–575. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.001867, PMID: 12068101
- Clark SE, Running MP, Meyerowitz EM. 1993. CLAVATA1, a regulator of meristem and flower development in Arabidopsis. *Development* 119: 397–418. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.119.2.397, PMID: 8287795
- Clark SE, Running MP, Meyerowitz EM. 1995. CLAVATA3 is a specific regulator of shoot and floral meristem development affecting the same processes as CLAVATA1. *Development* 121: 2057–2067. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1242/dev.121.7.2057
- Clark SE, Williams RW, Meyerowitz EM. 1997. The CLAVATA1 Gene Encodes a Putative Receptor Kinase That Controls Shoot and Floral Meristem Size in Arabidopsis. *Cell* 89: 575–585. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ s0092-8674(00)80239-1, PMID: 9160749
- Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998. Floral dip: A simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of Arabidopsis thaliana. The Plant Journal 16: 735–743. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313x.1998.00343.x, PMID: 10069079
- Crook AD, Willoughby AC, Hazak O, Okuda S, VanDerMolen KR, Soyars CL, Cattaneo P, Clark NM, Sozzani R, Hothorn M, Hardtke CS, Nimchuk ZL. 2020. BAM1/2 receptor kinase signaling drives CLE peptide-mediated formative cell divisions in Arabidopsis roots. PNAS 117: 32750–32756. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 2018565117, PMID: 33288706
- Cui Y, Hu C, Zhu Y, Cheng K, Li X, Wei Z, Xue L, Lin F, Shi H, Yi J, Hou S, He K, Li J, Gou X. 2018. CIK Receptor Kinases Determine Cell Fate Specification during Early Anther Development in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Cell* 30: 2383–2401. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.17.00586, PMID: 30201822
- Curtis MD, Grossniklaus U. 2003. A Gateway Cloning Vector Set for High-Throughput Functional Analysis of Genes in Planta. Plant Physiology 133: 462–469. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.103.027979, PMID: 14555774

- Daum G, Medzihradszky A, Suzaki T, Lohmann JU. 2014. A mechanistic framework for noncell autonomous stem cell induction in Arabidopsis. PNAS 111: 14619–14624. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1406446111, PMID: 25246576
- de Keijzer J, Freire Rios A, Willemsen V. 2021. Physcomitrium patens: A single model to study oriented cell divisions in 1d to 3d patterning. *Ternational Journal of Molecular Sciences* 22: 2626. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 3390/ijms22052626
- Defalco TA, Anne P, James SR, Willoughby A, Johanndrees O, Genolet Y, Pullen AM, Zipfel C, Hardtke CS, Nimchuk ZL. 2021. A Conserved Regulatory Module Regulates Receptor Kinase Signaling in Immunity and Development. [bioRxiv]. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.19.427293
- DeYoung BJ, Bickle KL, Schrage KJ, Muskett P, Patel K, Clark SE. 2006. The CLAVATA1-related BAM1, BAM2 and BAM3 receptor kinase-like proteins are required for meristem function in Arabidopsis. *The Plant Journal* **45**: 1–16. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02592.x, PMID: 16367950
- Deyoung BJ, Clark SE. 2008. BAM receptors regulate stem cell specification and organ development through complex interactions with CLAVATA signaling. *Genetics* 180: 895–904. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics. 108.091108, PMID: 18780746
- **Durbak AR**, Tax FE. 2011. CLAVATA signaling pathway receptors of arabidopsis regulate cell proliferation in fruit organ formation as well as in meristems. *Genetics* **189**: 177–194. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.111. 130930, PMID: 21705761
- Endrizzi K, Moussian B, Haecker A, Levin JZ, Laux T. 1996. The SHOOT MERISTEMLESS gene is required for maintenance of undifferentiated cells in Arabidopsis shoot and floral meristems and acts at a different regulatory level than the meristem genes WUSCHEL and ZWILLE. *The Plant Journal* 10: 967–979. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-313X.1996.10060967.x, PMID: 9011081
- Fletcher JC, Brand U, Running MP, Simon R, Meyerowitz EM. 1999. Signaling of cell fate decisions by CLAVATA3 in Arabidopsis shoot meristems. *Science* **283**: 1911–1914. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.283.5409. 1911, PMID: 10082464
- Fletcher JC. 2020. Recent Advances in Arabidopsis CLE Peptide Signaling. Trends in Plant Science 25: 1005–1016. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tplants.2020.04.014, PMID: 32402660
- Goad DM, Zhu C, Kellogg EA. 2017. Comprehensive identification and clustering of CLV3/ESR-related (CLE) genes in plants finds groups with potentially shared function. *The New Phytologist* **216**: 605–616. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.14348, PMID: 27911469
- Graf P, Dolzblasz A, Würschum T, Lenhard M, Pfreundt U, Laux T. 2010. MGOUN1 encodes an Arabidopsis type IB DNA topoisomerase required in stem cell regulation and to maintain developmentally regulated gene silencing. *The Plant Cell* 22: 716–728. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.109.068296, PMID: 20228247
- Hall PA, Watt FM. 1989. Stem cells: the generation and maintenance of cellular diversity. *Development* 106: 619–633. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.106.4.619, PMID: 2562658
- Han H, Geng Y, Guo L, Yan A, Meyerowitz EM, Liu X, Zhou Y. 2020. The Overlapping and Distinct Roles of HAM Family Genes in Arabidopsis Shoot Meristems. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **11**: 541968. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 3389/fpls.2020.541968, PMID: 33013964
- Harrison CJ, Roeder AHK, Meyerowitz EM, Langdale JA. 2009. Local Cues and Asymmetric Cell Divisions Underpin Body Plan Transitions in the Moss Physcomitrella patens. *Current Biology* **19**: 461–471. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.02.050, PMID: 19303301
- Hata Y, Kyozuka J. 2021. Fundamental mechanisms of the stem cell regulation in land plants: lesson from shoot apical cells in bryophytes. *Plant Molecular Biology* 1: 11103-021-01126. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11103-021-01126-y, PMID: 33609252
- Hirakawa Yuki, Uchida N, Yamaguchi YL, Tabata R, Ishida S, Ishizaki K, Nishihama R, Kohchi T, Sawa S, Bowman JL, Hake S. 2019. Control of proliferation in the haploid meristem by CLE peptide signaling in marchantia polymorpha. PLOS Genetics 15: e1007997. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1007997
- Hirakawa Y, Fujimoto T, Ishida S, Uchida N, Sawa S, Kiyosue T, Ishizaki K, Nishihama R, Kohchi T, Bowman JL.
 2020. duction of Multichotomous Branching by CLAVATA Peptide in Marchantia polymorpha. *Current Biology* 30: 3833-3840.. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2020.07.016
- Hobe M, Müller R, Grünewald M, Brand U, Simon R. 2003. Loss of CLE40, a protein functionally equivalent to the stem cell restricting signal CLV3, enhances root waving in Arabidopsis. *Development Genes and Evolution* 213: 371–381. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00427-003-0329-5, PMID: 12743822
- Hohm T, Zitzler E, Simon R. 2010. A dynamic model for stem cell homeostasis and patterning in Arabidopsis meristems. *PLOS ONE* 5: 0009189. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009189, PMID: 20169148
- Hord CLH, Chen C, Deyoung BJ, Clark SE, Ma H. 2006. The BAM1/BAM2 receptor-like kinases are important regulators of Arabidopsis early anther development. *The Plant Cell* **18**: 1667–1680. DOI: https://doi.org/10. 1105/tpc.105.036871, PMID: 16751349
- Ishida T, Tabata R, Yamada M, Aida M, Mitsumasu K, Fujiwara M, Yamaguchi K, Shigenobu S, Higuchi M, Tsuji H, Shimamoto K, Hasebe M, Fukuda H, Sawa S. 2014. Heterotrimeric G proteins control stem cell proliferation through CLAVATA signaling in Arabidopsis. EMBO Reports 15: 1202–1209. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/ embr.201438660, PMID: 25260844
- Je BI, Gruel J, Lee YK, Bommert P, Arevalo ED, Eveland AL, Wu Q, Goldshmidt A, Meeley R, Bartlett M, Komatsu M, Sakai H, Jönsson H, Jackson D. 2016. Signaling from maize organ primordia via FASCIATED EAR3 regulates stem cell proliferation and yield traits. *Nature Genetics* 48: 785–791. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/ ng.3567, PMID: 27182966

- Jeong S, Trotochaud AE, Clark SE. 1999. The Arabidopsis CLAVATA2 gene encodes a receptor-like protein required for the stability of the CLAVATA1 receptor-like kinase. *The Plant Cell* **11**: 1925–1934. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.11.10.1925, PMID: 10521522
- Kang YH, Hardtke CS. 2016. Arabidopsis MAKR5 is a positive effector of BAM3-dependent CLE45 signaling. EMBO Reports 17: 1145–1154. DOI: https://doi.org/10.15252/embr.201642450, PMID: 27354416
- Kinoshita A, Betsuyaku S, Osakabe Y, Mizuno S, Nagawa S, Stahl Y, Simon R, Yamaguchi-Shinozaki K, Fukuda H, Sawa S. 2010. RPK2 is an essential receptor-like kinase that transmits the CLV3 signal in Arabidopsis. Development 137: 4327. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.061747
- Lampropoulos A, Sutikovic Z, Wenzl C, Maegele I, Lohmann JU, Forner J. 2013. GreenGate---a novel, versatile, and efficient cloning system for plant transgenesis. *PLOS ONE* 8: e83043. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal. pone.0083043, PMID: 24376629
- Laux T, Mayer KF, Berger J, Jürgens G. 1996. The WUSCHEL gene is required for shoot and floral meristem integrity in Arabidopsis. *Development* **122**: 87–96 PMID: 8565856.,
- Lee H, Jun YS, Cha OK, Sheen J. 2019. Mitogen-activated protein kinases MPK3 and MPK6 are required for stem cell maintenance in the Arabidopsis shoot apical meristem. *Plant Cell Reports* **38**: 311–319. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00299-018-2367-5, PMID: 30552452
- Liu L, Gallagher J, Arevalo ED, Chen R, Skopelitis T, Wu Q, Bartlett M, Jackson D. 2021. Enhancing grain-yieldrelated traits by CRISPR-Cas9 promoter editing of maize CLE genes. *Nature Plants* 7: 287–294. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41477-021-00858-5, PMID: 33619356
- Ma Y, Miotk A, Šutiković Z, Ermakova O, Wenzl C, Medzihradszky A, Gaillochet C, Forner J, Utan G, Brackmann K, Galván-Ampudia CS, Vernoux T, Greb T, Lohmann JU. 2019. WUSCHEL acts as an auxin response rheostat to maintain apical stem cells in Arabidopsis. *Nature Communications* 10: 1–11. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41467-019-13074-9
- Mandel T, Moreau F, Kutsher Y, Fletcher JC, Carles CC, Eshed Williams L. 2014. The ERECTA receptor kinase regulates Arabidopsis shoot apical meristem size, phyllotaxy and floral meristem identity. *Development* 141: 830–841. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.104687, PMID: 24496620
- Mayer KFX, Schoof H, Haecker A, Lenhard M, Jürgens G, Laux T. 1998. Role of WUSCHEL in Regulating Stem Cell Fate in the Arabidopsis Shoot Meristem. *Cell* **95**: 805–815. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-8674(00) 81703-1
- Müller R, Borghi L, Kwiatkowska D, Laufs P, Simon R. 2006. Dynamic and Compensatory Responses of Arabidopsis Shoot and Floral Meristems to CLV3 Signaling. *The Plant Cell* **18**: 1188–1198. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1105/tpc.105.040444, PMID: 16603652
- Müller R, Bleckmann A, Simon R. 2008. The receptor kinase CORYNE of Arabidopsis transmits the stem cell-limiting signal CLAVATA3 independently of CLAVATA1. The Plant Cell 20: 934–946. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1105/tpc.107.057547, PMID: 18381924
- Nimchuk ZL, Tarr PT, Ohno C, Qu X, Meyerowitz EM. 2011. Plant stem cell signaling involves ligand-dependent trafficking of the CLAVATA1 receptor kinase. *Current Biology* **21**: 345–352. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub. 2011.01.039, PMID: 21333538
- Nimchuk ZL, Zhou Y, Tarr PT, Peterson BA, Meyerowitz EM. 2015. Plant stem cell maintenance by transcriptional cross-regulation of related receptor kinases. *Development* **142**: 1043–1049. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev. 119677, PMID: 25758219
- Nimchuk ZL. 2017. CLAVATA1 controls distinct signaling outputs that buffer shoot stem cell proliferation through a two-step transcriptional compensation loop. *PLOS Genetics* **13**: 1006681. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1006681, PMID: 28355208
- Ogawa M, Shinohara H, Sakagami Y, Matsubayashi Y. 2008. Arabidopsis CLV3 peptide directly binds CLV1 ectodomain. *Science* **319**: 294. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1150083, PMID: 18202283
- **Ohmori Y**, Tanaka W, Kojima M, Sakakibara H, Hirano HY. 2013. WUSCHEL-RELATED HOMEOBOX4 Is involved in meristem maintenance and is negatively regulated by the CLE gene FCP1 in rice. *The Plant Cell* **25**: 229–241. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.112.103432, PMID: 23371950
- Pallakies H, Simon R. 2014. The CLE40 and CRN/CLV2 signaling pathways antagonistically control root meristem growth in arabidopsis. *Molecular Plant* 7: 1619–1636. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/ssu094, PMID: 25178283
- Reddy GV, Heisler MG, Ehrhardt DW, Meyerowitz EM. 2004. Real-time lineage analysis reveals oriented cell divisions associated with morphogenesis at the shoot apex of Arabidopsis thaliana. Development 131: 4225–4237. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01261, PMID: 15280208
- Rodriguez-Leal D, Xu C, Kwon CT, Soyars C, Demesa-Arevalo E, Man J, Liu L, Lemmon ZH, Jones DS, Van Eck J, Jackson DP, Bartlett ME, Nimchuk ZL, Lippman ZB. 2019. Evolution of buffering in a genetic circuit controlling plant stem cell proliferation. *Nature Genetics* 51: 786–792. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/s41588-019-0389-8, PMID: 30988512
- Schnablová R, Neustupa J, Woodard K, Klimešová J, Herben T. 2020. Disentangling phylogenetic and functional components of shape variation among shoot apical meristems of a wide range of herbaceous angiosperms. *American Journal of Botany* 107: 20–30. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1002/ajb2.1407, PMID: 31885081
- Schneider CA, Rasband WS, Eliceiri KW. 2012. NIH Image to ImageJ: 25 years of image analysis. Nature Methods 9: 671–675. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2089, PMID: 22930834
- Schoof H, Lenhard M, Haecker A, Mayer KF, Jürgens G, Laux T. 2000. The stem cell population of Arabidopsis shoot meristems in maintained by a regulatory loop between the CLAVATA and WUSCHEL genes. *Cell* **100**: 635–644. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/s0092-8674(00)80700-x, PMID: 10761929

- Shinohara H, Matsubayashi Y. 2015. Reevaluation of the CLV3-receptor interaction in the shoot apical meristem: Dissection of the CLV3 signaling pathway from a direct ligand-binding point of view. The Plant Journal 82: 328–336. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/tpj.12817, PMID: 25754504
- Shpak ED, Berthiaume CT, Hill EJ, Torii KU. 2004. Synergistic interaction of three ERECTA-family receptor-like kinases controls Arabidopsis organ growth and flower development by promoting cell proliferation. Development 131: 1491–1501. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.01028, PMID: 14985254
- Shpak ED. 2013. Diverse roles of ERECTA family genes in plant development. Journal of Integrative Plant Biology 55: 1238–1250. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/jipb.12108, PMID: 24016315
- Somssich M, Je BI, Simon R, Jackson D. 2016. CLAVATA-WUSCHEL signaling in the shoot meristem. Development 143: 3238–3248. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/dev.133645, PMID: 27624829
- Stahl Y, Simon R. 2005. Plant stem cell niches. The International Journal of Developmental Biology 49: 479–489. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1387/ijdb.041929ys, PMID: 16096958
- Stahl Y, Wink RH, Ingram GC, Simon R. 2009. A Signaling Module Controlling the Stem Cell Niche in Arabidopsis Root Meristems. Current Biology 19: 909–914. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2009.03.060, PMID: 19398337
- Stahl Y, Simon R. 2010. Plant primary meristems: shared functions and regulatory mechanisms. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 13: 53–58. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2009.09.008, PMID: 19836993
- Stahl Y, Grabowski S, Bleckmann A, Kühnemuth R, Weidtkamp-Peters S, Pinto KG, Kirschner GK, Schmid JB, Wink RH, Hülsewede A, Felekyan S, Seidel CAM, Simon R. 2013. Moderation of arabidopsis root stemness by CLAVATA1 and ARABIDOPSIS CRINKLY4 receptor kinase complexes. *Current Biology* 23: 362–371. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2013.01.045, PMID: 23394827
- Steeves TA, Sussex IM. 1989. Patterns in Plant Development. Cambridge University Press 2: 11626227. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9780511626227
- Suzaki T, Yoshida A, Hirano HY. 2008. Functional diversification of CLAVATA3-related CLE proteins in meristem maintenance in rice. The Plant Cell 20: 2049–2058. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.107.057257, PMID: 18676878
- Takahashi G, Betsuyaku S, Okuzumi N, Kiyosue T, Hirakawa Y. 2021. An Evolutionarily Conserved Coreceptor Gene Is Essential for CLAVATA Signaling in Marchantia polymorpha. *Frontiers in Plant Science* **12**: 657548. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2021.657548, PMID: 33927741
- Torii KU, Mitsukawa N, Oosumi T, Matsuura Y, Yokoyama R, Whittier RF, Komeda Y. 1996. The Arabidopsis ERECTA Gene Encodes a Putative Receptor Protein Kinase with Extracellular Leucine-Rich Repeats. *The Plant Cell* **8**: 735–746. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.8.4.735, PMID: 8624444
- Whitewoods CD, Cammarata J, Nemec Venza Z, Sang S, Crook AD, Aoyama T, Wang XY, Waller M, Kamisugi Y, Cuming AC, Szövényi P, Nimchuk ZL, Roeder AHK, Scanlon MJ, Harrison CJ. 2018. CLAVATA Was a Genetic Novelty for the Morphological Innovation of 3D Growth in Land Plants. Current Biology 28: 2365-2376.. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.05.068, PMID: 30033333
- Yadav RK, Perales M, Gruel J, Girke T, Jönsson H, Reddy GV. 2011. WUSCHEL protein movement mediates stem cell homeostasis in the Arabidopsis shoot apex. *Genes & Development* 25: 2025–2030. DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1101/gad.17258511, PMID: 21979915
- Yamaguchi YL, Ishida T, Yoshimura M, Imamura Y, Shimaoka C, Sawa S. 2017. A Collection of Mutants for CLE-Peptide-Encoding Genes in Arabidopsis Generated by CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Gene Targeting. *Plant & Cell Physiology* **58**: 1848–1856. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcx139, PMID: 29036337
- Zhang L, DeGennaro D, Lin G, Chai J, Shpak ED. 2021. ERECTA family signaling constrains CLAVATA3 and WUSCHEL to the center of the shoot apical meristem. *Development* 148: 1–10. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1242/ dev.189753
- Zhou Y, Yan A, Han H, Li T, Geng Y, Liu X, Meyerowitz EM. 2018. Hairy meristem with wuschel confines clavata3 expression to the outer apical meristem layers. *Science* **361**: 502–506. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1126/science. aar8638, PMID: 30072538

Appendix 1

Appendix 1—key resources table

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Strain, strain background (Arabidopsis thaliana)	Columbia (<i>Col-0</i>)	NASC ID: N22625	ABRC: CS22625	
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	bam1-3	Alonso et al., 2003 ; NASC ID: N515302	ABRC: SALK_015302	T-DNA mutation in <i>Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	cle40-2	Stahl et al., 2009	NA	Transposon mutation <i>Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	cle40-cr1/2/3	Yamaguchi et al., 2017	NA	CRISPR in <i>Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	clv3-9	Hobe et al., 2003	NA	EMS in <i>Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	clv1-20	Alonso et al., 2003 ; NASC ID: N508670	ABRC: SALK_008670	T-DNA mutation in <i>Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	clv1-101	Alonso et al., 2003 ; NASC ID: N858348	ABRC: CS858348	T-DNA mutation in <i>Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	wus-7	Graf et al., 2010;	NA	EMS in L.er. background Gift from J. Lohmann lab
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	BAM1:BAM1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>bam1-3</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	BAM1:BAM1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>bam1-3;clv1-20</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	BAM1:BAM1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>bam1-3;clv3-9</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	BAM1:BAM1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>bam1-3;cle40-2</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLE40:Venus-H2B	This study	NA	Transgenic line in Col-0 background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLE40:Venus-H2B	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>clv3-9</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLE40:Venus-H2B	This study	NA	Transgenic line in wus-7 background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLE40:Venus-H2B	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>CLV3:WUS/Col-0</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLE40:CLE40-GFP	Stahl et al., 2009	NA	Transgenic line in Col-0 background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV1:CLV1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in Col-0 background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV1:CLV1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>clv1-101</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV1:CLV1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>bam1-3</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV1:CLV1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>clv3-9</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV1:CLV1-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>cle40-2</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV1:CLV1-2xGFP	Nimchuk et al., 2011	NA	Transgenic line in <i>clv1-11</i> background Gift from Z. Nimchuk lab
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV3:NLS-3xmCherry	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>CLE40:Venus-</i> <i>H2B/Col-0</i> background

Appendix 1 Continued on next page

Appendix 1 Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV3:NLS-mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	Anne Pfeiffer	NA	Transgenic line in <i>Col-0</i> background Gift from J. Lohmann lab
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV3:NLS-mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>cle40-2</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV3:NLS-mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>bam1-3</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV3:NLS-mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>clv1-101</i> background
Genetic reagent (A. thaliana)	CLV3:NLS-mCherry WUS:NLS-GFP	This study	NA	Transgenic line in <i>clv</i> 3-9 background
Strain, strain background (Agrobacterium tumefaciens)	A. tumefaciens GV3101 pMP90 pSoup	Lifeasible	Cat# ACC-101	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGZ001	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48868
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGB002	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48820
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGE009	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48841
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGF003	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48844
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGC026	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48831
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGD002	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48834
Recombinant DNA reagent	pGGF008	Lampropoulos et al., 2013	Addgene	RRID:Addgene_48848
Recombinant DNA reagent	pMDC99	Curtis and Grossniklaus, 2003	NA	
Recombinant DNA reagent	pBAM1/pGGA000	This study	NA	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Recombinant DNA reagent	BAM1-CDS/pGGC000	This study	TAIR: AT5G65700	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCLV1-/pGGA000	This study	NA	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Recombinant DNA reagent	CLV1-CDS/pGGC000	This study	TAIR: AT1G75820	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCLE40/pGGA000	This study	NA	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCLV3/pGGA000	This study	NA	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Recombinant DNA reagent	pCLV3/pGGE000	This study	NA	Entry vector used for cloning See Tables 4 and 5 for details
Sequence-based reagent	Cloning primers	This study	NA	Table 5
Sequence-based reagent	Genotyping primers	This study	NA	Table 2
Chemical compound, drug	BASTA non-selective herbicide	Bayer CropScience	84442615	
Peptide, recombinant protein	Synthetic CLE40	Peptides&Elephants	NA	

Appendix 1 Continued on next page

Appendix 1 Continued

Reagent type (species) or resource	Designation	Source or reference	Identifiers	Additional information
Software, algorithm	ImageJ v 1.53c	Schneider et al., 2012	https://imagej. net/software/fiji/	RRID:SCR_003070
Software, algorithm	MorphoGraphX	Barbier de Reuille et al., 2015	https://www. mpipz.mpg.de/ MorphoGraphX/	
Software, algorithm	GraphPad Prism v8.0.0.224	NA	GraphPad Prism (https:// graphpad.com)	RRID:SCR_002798
Software, algorithm	Imaris	NA	http://www. bitplane.com/ imaris/imaris	RRID:SCR_007370